

WEBVTT - This file was automatically generated by event.video

0

00:00:00.900 --> 00:00:04.500

Thank you. Everyone. Good afternoon. It's 215

1

00:00:03.500 --> 00:00:06.800

issue specific

2

00:00:06.800 --> 00:00:08.500

hearing number two is resumed.

3

00:00:09.800 --> 00:00:13.200

Just before I hand back to Mrs. Taylor. I

4

00:00:12.200 --> 00:00:15.400

want to give you the heads up about

5

00:00:15.400 --> 00:00:18.200

the gender for tomorrow, which is

6

00:00:18.200 --> 00:00:21.300

Ish 3 and they've been

7

00:00:21.300 --> 00:00:25.800

a couple of changes to the agenda

8

00:00:24.800 --> 00:00:27.400

from that which

9

00:00:27.400 --> 00:00:31.700

was originally notified. The one

10

00:00:31.700 --> 00:00:36.300

that was originally notified to people included an

11

00:00:35.300 --> 00:00:38.600

item on Associated development.

12

00:00:39.600 --> 00:00:42.200

And I think that has

13

00:00:42.200 --> 00:00:45.400  
been changed in the latest agenda. That was put up on

14  
00:00:45.400 --> 00:00:49.200  
the on the banner heading site as

15  
00:00:49.200 --> 00:00:53.000  
it were of the infrastructure web and images.

16  
00:00:54.700 --> 00:00:57.500  
But just to clarify as panel we

17  
00:00:57.500 --> 00:01:01.100  
feel that we have sufficient information

18  
00:01:00.100 --> 00:01:04.800  
so far to enable

19  
00:01:04.800 --> 00:01:07.400  
us to review that position on the

20  
00:01:07.400 --> 00:01:11.200  
question of battery storage and Associated development.

21  
00:01:12.500 --> 00:01:15.800  
I don't want to prevent anybody who's

22  
00:01:15.800 --> 00:01:18.900  
got any burning issues to to

23  
00:01:18.900 --> 00:01:22.000  
raise on the subject tomorrow. So I

24  
00:01:21.300 --> 00:01:24.800  
will refer to it but I don't really intend

25  
00:01:24.800 --> 00:01:27.300  
to go into it in in any great detail

26  
00:01:27.300 --> 00:01:30.600  
because there's been quite a bit said about it both

27

00:01:30.600 --> 00:01:34.700  
orally and in writing. So we're content

28

00:01:34.700 --> 00:01:37.700  
for the time being to to assessments on

29

00:01:37.700 --> 00:01:39.400  
the information that's available to us.

30

00:01:40.400 --> 00:01:43.700  
And obviously will review subsequent information that

31

00:01:43.700 --> 00:01:46.200  
may come in up until the

32

00:01:46.200 --> 00:01:47.200  
end of the examination.

33

00:01:50.100 --> 00:01:52.000  
So I think the

34

00:01:53.200 --> 00:01:57.500  
Mr. Cazelko, I asking

35

00:01:56.500 --> 00:01:59.900  
you I don't it I think

36

00:01:59.900 --> 00:02:03.200  
there was a question from the horse

37

00:02:02.200 --> 00:02:06.600  
racing industry about tomorrow

38

00:02:06.600 --> 00:02:09.700  
and the timing of the item to do

39

00:02:09.700 --> 00:02:14.000  
with with with that issue. I

40

00:02:12.500 --> 00:02:15.900  
replied that I I'm

41  
00:02:15.900 --> 00:02:19.000  
not really sure what time we we definitively

42  
00:02:18.300 --> 00:02:21.800  
say we deal with that. I wasn't

43  
00:02:21.800 --> 00:02:22.100  
sure that

44  
00:02:24.500 --> 00:02:27.500  
Give me some speaking Stone person. Like if

45  
00:02:27.500 --> 00:02:30.200  
you're able to shut any

46  
00:02:30.200 --> 00:02:31.700  
lights on what their issue was.

47  
00:02:33.100 --> 00:02:36.100  
and yes, Jennifer saying I've done a correction

48  
00:02:36.100 --> 00:02:36.700  
group and

49  
00:02:37.600 --> 00:02:41.200  
as I understand composition is is that essentially it's

50  
00:02:41.200 --> 00:02:45.100  
getting people's timings,

51  
00:02:44.100 --> 00:02:47.400  
correct for when to be here for when they

52  
00:02:47.400 --> 00:02:51.400  
can be heard considering both working

53  
00:02:50.400 --> 00:02:53.500  
obligations as it's worth obviously

54

00:02:53.500 --> 00:02:56.600

working industry in New Market and in addition

55

00:02:56.600 --> 00:02:59.300

as I understand it was quite a large industry event in London

56

00:02:59.300 --> 00:03:02.400

this evening, which is also causing difficulty

57

00:03:02.400 --> 00:03:06.200

with timings. So I think it's arising from

58

00:03:05.200 --> 00:03:08.500

those two things and

59

00:03:09.800 --> 00:03:12.000

I we I can see from the

60

00:03:12.200 --> 00:03:15.200

from the agenda tomorrow. It's option of a first

61

00:03:15.200 --> 00:03:18.800

thing that's going through picks up and there's a few things that fall before and I

62

00:03:18.800 --> 00:03:21.300

think the main thing was just being occasion if it's likely to

63

00:03:21.300 --> 00:03:24.400

fall in the morning or the afternoon or indeed people arrive at

64

00:03:24.400 --> 00:03:26.200

the afternoon session would still be able to speak.

65

00:03:27.100 --> 00:03:30.200

Yeah, it's rather inconveniently placed from that point of view

66

00:03:30.200 --> 00:03:33.500

to be able to predict with certainty whether it be the morning or the

67

00:03:33.500 --> 00:03:33.600  
afternoon.

68

00:03:36.200 --> 00:03:39.300  
Yeah, I mean trying to stick to the order that

69

00:03:39.300 --> 00:03:44.000  
we've got and perhaps if somebody could be here at

70

00:03:42.200 --> 00:03:45.400  
10 o'clock either either here

71

00:03:45.400 --> 00:03:48.800  
or online and give us some guidance on

72

00:03:48.800 --> 00:03:52.100  
what their preferences might be. We can

73

00:03:51.100 --> 00:03:55.000  
see whether we can work it into the the agenda

74

00:03:54.100 --> 00:03:57.800  
that way thank you, sir. I mean, I understand from

75

00:03:57.800 --> 00:04:01.200  
what position but later is better but later is

76

00:04:01.200 --> 00:04:05.200  
that yes. Oh I see. Right right. So when

77

00:04:04.200 --> 00:04:10.100  
I I still here

78

00:04:09.100 --> 00:04:10.200  
tomorrow.

79

00:04:13.200 --> 00:04:14.600  
illuminate that position volume

80

00:04:15.600 --> 00:04:19.000  
Thank you, Mr. Excelka Right and any

81  
00:04:18.300 --> 00:04:21.700  
of the housekeeping points that are interested

82  
00:04:21.700 --> 00:04:25.300  
any for the applicant and we didn't

83  
00:04:25.300 --> 00:04:28.300  
see what's now but from our perspective, we've got an availability  
issue

84  
00:04:28.300 --> 00:04:32.800  
later in the day with the person who's prepared the relevant  
report. So,

85  
00:04:31.800 --> 00:04:34.100  
I don't know

86  
00:04:34.100 --> 00:04:34.600  
whether it's

87  
00:04:35.300 --> 00:04:38.800  
It basically if you have questions for Mr. Surprise, the

88  
00:04:38.800 --> 00:04:41.800  
author of the report that we've commissioned then

89  
00:04:41.800 --> 00:04:43.300  
David have to be in the morning.

90  
00:04:43.900 --> 00:04:46.100  
So I had to

91  
00:04:46.100 --> 00:04:50.000  
raise up with you tomorrow morning. Yeah, well, I'm glad I raced  
it. So, but

92  
00:04:49.300 --> 00:04:52.400  
maybe I'll if I speak to Mystic guitar at

93

00:04:52.400 --> 00:04:56.400

the end of today. We can work out that there might be a sweet spot.  
Yeah at

94

00:04:55.400 --> 00:04:58.500

some point where we could base the accommodated and

95

00:04:58.500 --> 00:05:01.400

then we can put it to you tomorrow morning. You can see if you want  
to ACC.

96

00:05:02.100 --> 00:05:06.800

You find another ways to okay. Thank you very much. Okay over

97

00:05:05.800 --> 00:05:07.300

to Mr.

98

00:05:09.900 --> 00:05:11.300

Thank you, Mr.

99

00:05:13.400 --> 00:05:16.600

Moving on now to item three on the agenda and historic

100

00:05:16.600 --> 00:05:17.000

environment.

101

00:05:18.100 --> 00:05:21.400

I'm conscious we have I

102

00:05:21.400 --> 00:05:23.600

think still online will Fletcher from historic, England.

103

00:05:26.900 --> 00:05:27.900

I think yeah.

104

00:05:30.200 --> 00:05:34.300

Yes here. Okay, right Mr. Because

105

00:05:33.300 --> 00:05:36.400

it's a bit harder to see because you're



106

00:05:36.400 --> 00:05:39.800

not in the room with or any particular items you wanted to come in

107

00:05:39.800 --> 00:05:41.100

on or is it just general?

108

00:05:43.400 --> 00:05:46.100

And we are just here on a

109

00:05:46.100 --> 00:05:49.300

general on a general matters. I don't think we have anything particularly to

110

00:05:49.300 --> 00:05:50.800

add to our written reps.

111

00:05:51.400 --> 00:05:54.100

And you will have received the written reps and

112

00:05:54.100 --> 00:05:58.000

you can see that we've raised a number of issues that we've we feel would benefit

113

00:05:57.200 --> 00:06:00.900

from further consideration. I think we are

114

00:06:00.900 --> 00:06:04.300

I note that there are specialists in

115

00:06:04.300 --> 00:06:08.000

the room from from both both counselors and

116

00:06:08.200 --> 00:06:11.400

district councils and and Heritage matters will will be you know, strong on

117

00:06:11.400 --> 00:06:14.200

their agenda as well. So we're happy to do for some of the

118

00:06:14.200 --> 00:06:17.600

matters to the to the people in the room in terms of settings of

listed buildings

119

00:06:17.600 --> 00:06:20.700  
and that kind of matter and I

120

00:06:20.700 --> 00:06:23.400  
think one point that did come up earlier on

121

00:06:26.100 --> 00:06:27.500  
to check whether I needed to

122

00:06:28.300 --> 00:06:29.400  
for your yellow hand

123

00:06:31.700 --> 00:06:34.400  
Deploy it, but I think that answers the questions or

124

00:06:34.400 --> 00:06:36.600  
I'll I can I'll keep an eye on the yellow hand.

125

00:06:37.800 --> 00:06:40.900  
Yes, I think the next item be a proposed

126

00:06:40.900 --> 00:06:43.200  
mitigation. Maybe maybe the points in which

127

00:06:43.200 --> 00:06:46.300  
we will raise our specific

128

00:06:46.300 --> 00:06:47.000  
concern.

129

00:06:48.300 --> 00:06:50.500  
Okay. Thank you very much. So I'd like

130

00:06:50.900 --> 00:06:53.100  
to start with three a

131

00:06:53.400 --> 00:06:53.600  
which is

132

00:06:54.200 --> 00:06:55.300

Heritage assessment

133

00:06:56.200 --> 00:06:58.100

And turning to the applicant first.

134

00:06:58.900 --> 00:07:01.600

And I would like to refer please to

135

00:07:01.600 --> 00:07:04.900

table seven dash one

136

00:07:04.900 --> 00:07:07.800

in chapter 7 of the environmental statement,

137

00:07:07.800 --> 00:07:10.300

which is document reference a

138

00:07:10.300 --> 00:07:12.600

pp-039.

139

00:07:13.500 --> 00:07:16.800

And this is a table of criteria for determining the

140

00:07:16.800 --> 00:07:18.300

value of Heritage assets.

141

00:07:21.600 --> 00:07:24.300

And the question I have is intake table

142

00:07:24.300 --> 00:07:27.400

7-1 the highest level of significance is high

143

00:07:27.400 --> 00:07:29.700

whereas the lowest is very low.

144

00:07:30.600 --> 00:07:33.400

So why have you assessed a significance of grade 1

145

00:07:33.400 --> 00:07:36.200

and 2 Star buildings registered parts

146

00:07:36.200 --> 00:07:38.900

and garden Etc as high and grade two.

147

00:07:40.200 --> 00:07:43.600

As medium rather than very high and

148

00:07:43.600 --> 00:07:46.300

high respectively. So could you

149

00:07:46.300 --> 00:07:49.100

explain please where that methodology came from?

150

00:07:50.300 --> 00:07:53.800

That's not emergency for the applicant. I'm going to hand David to Mr.

151

00:07:53.800 --> 00:07:56.500

Grant to deal with these things. And can I

152

00:07:56.500 --> 00:07:59.500

just before I do say just briefly introduce the two

153

00:07:59.500 --> 00:08:00.100

experts?

154

00:08:00.900 --> 00:08:03.500

First of all Amy Jones who is

155

00:08:03.500 --> 00:08:06.400

dealing with built Heritage, I think in summary

156

00:08:06.400 --> 00:08:10.300

and then Andy Mays

157

00:08:10.300 --> 00:08:13.200

who is dealing with archeology, but I'll get Mr.

158

00:08:13.200 --> 00:08:15.100

Grant to bring the right person.

159

00:08:17.200 --> 00:08:20.400

But malnik Grant the applicant. I'm just going to pass the straight

160

00:08:20.400 --> 00:08:22.500

way along to Miss Jones, please.

161

00:08:27.800 --> 00:08:30.500

Could you tap into your microphone? Sorry like you

162

00:08:30.500 --> 00:08:32.300

to pull it forward a little bit like I did.

163

00:08:34.100 --> 00:08:36.500

if Angel is on behalf of the applicant and

164

00:08:37.300 --> 00:08:37.500

we

165

00:08:38.700 --> 00:08:41.600

come up with the criteria. There's no

166

00:08:41.600 --> 00:08:45.800

accepted methodology

167

00:08:44.800 --> 00:08:48.000

for ascribing criteria.

168

00:08:50.300 --> 00:08:53.200

Of value, there's no set tables that

169

00:08:53.200 --> 00:08:57.100

were required to follow. So we put

170

00:08:56.100 --> 00:08:57.800

together one that

171

00:08:58.800 --> 00:09:01.900

that comes higher the highest level high

172

00:09:01.900 --> 00:09:04.700  
medium low very low and we

173  
00:09:04.700 --> 00:09:07.700  
separate out grade 1 and grade two staff

174  
00:09:07.700 --> 00:09:10.800  
from grade two in accordance with the separation.

175  
00:09:10.800 --> 00:09:14.300  
They're given in the National planning policy framework

176  
00:09:13.300 --> 00:09:15.900  
the

177  
00:09:17.100 --> 00:09:20.400  
we use higher medium just as

178  
00:09:20.400 --> 00:09:22.200  
a as a differentiation.

179  
00:09:24.500 --> 00:09:27.700  
Rather than saying that those art of we

180  
00:09:27.700 --> 00:09:30.400  
still maintain those are the highest significance, but we

181  
00:09:30.400 --> 00:09:33.200  
give it to high bracket rather very high.

182  
00:09:35.100 --> 00:09:38.100  
Thank you. So local authorities. Do you have

183  
00:09:38.100 --> 00:09:41.200  
any response any comments on terms of

184  
00:09:41.200 --> 00:09:41.800  
the methodology?

185  
00:09:46.600 --> 00:09:47.200  
to Mom

186

00:09:48.100 --> 00:09:51.700

Right hand over to my expert on

187

00:09:51.700 --> 00:09:53.000

this Mr. Speaker. It's missing.

188

00:09:53.400 --> 00:09:56.300

Christopher, I think you have a list is named list. Sorry. I'm having

189

00:09:56.300 --> 00:09:59.300

trouble hearing you still had I will hand over to our

190

00:09:59.300 --> 00:10:02.600

expert on this Mr. Mohammed speaking of behalf of the two local authorities.

191

00:10:02.600 --> 00:10:03.500

Thank you.

192

00:10:05.500 --> 00:10:07.800

Chris Patrick District Council

193

00:10:10.500 --> 00:10:13.900

I mean some agreement with that there is despite there

194

00:10:13.900 --> 00:10:14.100

being.

195

00:10:14.900 --> 00:10:16.800

for England guidance on setting

196

00:10:17.500 --> 00:10:20.900

Risen, really and established

197

00:10:20.900 --> 00:10:24.200

Matrix for differentiating harms.

198

00:10:23.200 --> 00:10:25.800

It's less than substantial. It's

199

00:10:27.600 --> 00:10:30.500

Substantial harm, so we're not pursuing that point

200

00:10:30.500 --> 00:10:31.400

to the nth degree.

201

00:10:34.300 --> 00:10:34.400

to

202

00:10:35.100 --> 00:10:35.800

any further

203

00:10:39.800 --> 00:10:42.700

talk about Mr. Hogget would like to Queen on this. Thank

204

00:10:42.700 --> 00:10:42.800

you.

205

00:10:43.300 --> 00:10:46.700

Richard Hockett for say no to Seneca. I absolutely

206

00:10:46.700 --> 00:10:50.500

take point. There is no established Matrix and reading

207

00:10:49.500 --> 00:10:52.300

through the significant report though. It's

208

00:10:52.300 --> 00:10:55.000

clear that there is an attempt, you know, Matrix has been

209

00:10:55.300 --> 00:10:58.200

used in order to score the the assets and that's something

210

00:10:58.200 --> 00:11:01.300

that perhaps will come back to and in terms

211

00:11:01.300 --> 00:11:04.300

of devising a scheme the high medium low, very low

212



00:11:04.300 --> 00:11:07.800  
is obviously weighted towards a lower score than a

213  
00:11:07.800 --> 00:11:10.200  
higher score by the meeting the balancing

214  
00:11:10.200 --> 00:11:13.400  
higher rating and I think that probably fills us through to some of  
the later comments

215  
00:11:13.400 --> 00:11:14.500  
we might have on the scores.

216  
00:11:16.600 --> 00:11:19.500  
Thank you any further comments on this point?

217  
00:11:23.600 --> 00:11:26.200  
Amy James I'll be half the applicant and I would just say

218  
00:11:26.200 --> 00:11:29.700  
that the it comes

219  
00:11:29.700 --> 00:11:32.800  
in terms of terminology on Matrix still has

220  
00:11:32.800 --> 00:11:35.900  
high as the highest. It

221  
00:11:35.900 --> 00:11:38.000  
doesn't fall down just because it's not

222  
00:11:38.300 --> 00:11:41.300  
very high The Matrix is set up as high medium low as

223  
00:11:41.300 --> 00:11:41.400  
well.

224  
00:11:43.700 --> 00:11:43.900  
Thank you.

225  
00:11:45.300 --> 00:11:49.100

Well Item B on the agenda is now well then

226

00:11:48.100 --> 00:11:50.100  
in view of the

227

00:11:52.300 --> 00:11:54.500  
proposed proposed proposed change

228

00:11:55.500 --> 00:11:59.000  
and I would actually like to defer

229

00:11:58.300 --> 00:12:02.400  
this to item 5 because

230

00:12:01.400 --> 00:12:04.600  
I'm conscious that we're not

231

00:12:04.600 --> 00:12:07.300  
just talking about historic environment and relation

232

00:12:07.300 --> 00:12:10.600  
to this site. But there are a number of would have

233

00:12:10.600 --> 00:12:14.600  
been a number of potential impacts and effects. So unless

234

00:12:13.600 --> 00:12:16.100  
there are any yes, it looks

235

00:12:16.100 --> 00:12:19.800  
as that's going to answer my next question. If there are any very  
specific

236

00:12:19.800 --> 00:12:23.100  
comments relating to Snellville Fen

237

00:12:22.100 --> 00:12:25.800  
in terms of the historic landscape that we can't wrap

238

00:12:25.800 --> 00:12:29.200  
up later in terms of discussion about the proposed

239

00:12:28.200 --> 00:12:31.900  
change in relation to that site. Yes, sir,

240

00:12:31.900 --> 00:12:34.900  
Mark Fletcher of the Iceland

241

00:12:34.900 --> 00:12:38.500  
society and my colleague Evie

242

00:12:37.500 --> 00:12:41.000  
has had to leave us so I can

243

00:12:40.100 --> 00:12:44.200  
say a little on behalf of the friends of nature reserve

244

00:12:43.200 --> 00:12:44.400  
as well.

245

00:12:45.300 --> 00:12:45.600  
and

246

00:12:46.400 --> 00:12:49.400  
I I pick up on this word assessment.

247

00:12:53.100 --> 00:12:54.000  
I'm a teacher.

248

00:12:56.700 --> 00:12:59.300  
Other things and I've been a teacher for 50 years.

249

00:12:59.300 --> 00:13:03.000  
So assessment has been quite an

250

00:13:02.100 --> 00:13:05.400  
important part of my professional life.

251

00:13:07.500 --> 00:13:09.900  
And the assessment I would like to bring to the table.

252

00:13:11.300 --> 00:13:14.000

You sound teacher. I can't actually speak sitting down.

253

00:13:17.500 --> 00:13:20.800

The assistant I would like to bring is is that of how people

254

00:13:20.800 --> 00:13:23.800

assess the Heritage value

255

00:13:23.800 --> 00:13:27.000

of the place they live now.

256

00:13:26.200 --> 00:13:31.100

This isn't going to be about regulations,

257

00:13:29.100 --> 00:13:32.000

but it is

258

00:13:32.300 --> 00:13:32.700

going to be about

259

00:13:34.100 --> 00:13:36.100

passion and commitment

260

00:13:37.300 --> 00:13:40.400

and if I could say the eyes of society is

261

00:13:40.400 --> 00:13:44.400

one of a number of local Heritage societies

262

00:13:43.400 --> 00:13:46.700

based in the religions

263

00:13:46.700 --> 00:13:48.500

like Milton Hall like oh well.

264

00:13:51.100 --> 00:13:51.300

and

265

00:13:52.300 --> 00:13:56.400

our purpose is to preserve and

266

00:13:58.700 --> 00:14:00.400  
thrilled in power

267

00:14:01.500 --> 00:14:04.400  
and pass on the heritage of

268

00:14:04.400 --> 00:14:08.100  
the area where we live to not

269

00:14:07.100 --> 00:14:09.400  
only that the current

270

00:14:10.900 --> 00:14:11.600  
residence

271

00:14:13.200 --> 00:14:16.700  
but also the numbers of people moving into the area who come

272

00:14:16.700 --> 00:14:18.000  
from different backgrounds.

273

00:14:19.300 --> 00:14:22.300  
And in particular the the children the young

274

00:14:22.300 --> 00:14:24.300  
people of the village.

275

00:14:25.300 --> 00:14:25.500  
and

276

00:14:26.300 --> 00:14:29.700  
what I need to do now is something rather different from

277

00:14:29.700 --> 00:14:30.400  
what has been.

278

00:14:31.200 --> 00:14:33.200  
done in presentation so far

279

00:14:33.900 --> 00:14:36.700  
I'd like to show you one of two things and relate

280  
00:14:36.700 --> 00:14:39.700  
them to the impact of the The

281  
00:14:39.700 --> 00:14:40.200  
Proposal.

282  
00:14:42.500 --> 00:14:43.800  
to that group of people

283  
00:14:44.600 --> 00:14:47.600  
and the first thing I'm going to show you may not

284  
00:14:47.600 --> 00:14:48.900  
have an immediate.

285  
00:14:49.800 --> 00:14:52.500  
You might say why am I doing this? Well

286  
00:14:52.500 --> 00:14:53.000  
what it is?

287  
00:14:54.200 --> 00:14:55.100  
Is this key?

288  
00:14:56.300 --> 00:14:59.700  
This key is the key to Iceland Church door.

289  
00:15:00.500 --> 00:15:02.700  
The door itself is over 300 years old.

290  
00:15:04.600 --> 00:15:06.000  
children in the school

291  
00:15:08.500 --> 00:15:09.400  
had this experience of

292  
00:15:12.400 --> 00:15:15.600  
plunking that key to open an ancient door and

293

00:15:15.600 --> 00:15:17.900  
that's part of the start of their

294

00:15:18.800 --> 00:15:21.600  
Tour their understanding their induction if

295

00:15:21.600 --> 00:15:22.800  
you like into heritage.

296

00:15:26.300 --> 00:15:29.500  
If you go to the church, you'll find the door is open

297

00:15:29.500 --> 00:15:31.800  
every day of the year.

298

00:15:32.900 --> 00:15:33.500  
and in

299

00:15:34.400 --> 00:15:37.500  
Church are the parish records

300

00:15:37.500 --> 00:15:40.700  
of births marriages and deaths going

301

00:15:40.700 --> 00:15:42.900  
back to 1566?

302

00:15:44.200 --> 00:15:45.500  
And this is come every day.

303

00:15:46.700 --> 00:15:48.900  
driving into Islam

304

00:15:49.500 --> 00:15:53.100  
to look and see if they have a village connection and

305

00:15:52.100 --> 00:15:56.700  
the visitors' book is full of those connections and

306

00:15:56.700 --> 00:16:00.000  
the children from the school come and see whether

307  
00:15:59.500 --> 00:16:02.900  
they have a Heritage Family connection and

308  
00:16:02.900 --> 00:16:06.300  
it is a thrill to find that your name has

309  
00:16:05.300 --> 00:16:09.300  
been on the pages of this record

310  
00:16:08.300 --> 00:16:10.000  
for

311  
00:16:11.700 --> 00:16:13.100  
400 years of ancestry

312  
00:16:14.900 --> 00:16:18.100  
I wish I could take you with me on our

313  
00:16:17.100 --> 00:16:21.300  
January beating the

314  
00:16:21.300 --> 00:16:23.200  
bounds walk.

315  
00:16:24.300 --> 00:16:25.600  
Generally very monthly.

316  
00:16:26.700 --> 00:16:29.800  
The society has produced a

317  
00:16:29.800 --> 00:16:33.200  
map of footpaths and Heritage

318  
00:16:32.200 --> 00:16:33.700  
sites.

319  
00:16:36.200 --> 00:16:37.300  
Some of them are very obvious.



320

00:16:38.200 --> 00:16:38.800  
like the

321

00:16:39.900 --> 00:16:43.500  
primary built towards around about

322

00:16:43.500 --> 00:16:46.800  
1090 others are not so obvious if you

323

00:16:46.800 --> 00:16:47.500  
come with me.

324

00:16:48.400 --> 00:16:51.700  
We will walk along a muddy drove.

325

00:16:53.400 --> 00:16:56.500  
And discover that actually we're walking along a Fen

326

00:16:56.500 --> 00:16:57.600  
Edge path.

327

00:16:59.500 --> 00:17:03.000  
A Roman embankment. This was only found out a

328

00:17:02.100 --> 00:17:05.300  
couple of years ago when new building was

329

00:17:05.300 --> 00:17:09.200  
taking place on that science and the archaeologists found Roman

330

00:17:08.200 --> 00:17:11.300  
remains. This is all within the

331

00:17:11.300 --> 00:17:13.400  
area of the proposed.

332

00:17:14.300 --> 00:17:15.500  
site and

333

00:17:16.700 --> 00:17:19.700  
nobody at that point knew that there

334  
00:17:19.700 --> 00:17:22.600  
was in Iceland on Coach

335  
00:17:22.600 --> 00:17:23.000  
drove.

336  
00:17:23.700 --> 00:17:25.200  
a Roman Farm

337  
00:17:26.500 --> 00:17:29.200  
There's an awful lot there still to be

338  
00:17:29.200 --> 00:17:33.100  
discovered. So as you walk along you have what was underwater for

339  
00:17:32.100 --> 00:17:35.800  
most of the Year Wetland and

340  
00:17:35.800 --> 00:17:38.300  
fenland and on that side. You

341  
00:17:38.300 --> 00:17:41.000  
have the beginnings of Agriculture and this of course

342  
00:17:41.200 --> 00:17:45.100  
is essential to how children begin to

343  
00:17:44.100 --> 00:17:49.000  
learn about where they are living in

344  
00:17:47.100 --> 00:17:50.500  
some cases where they're

345  
00:17:50.500 --> 00:17:54.400  
born and their grandparents have

346  
00:17:53.400 --> 00:17:56.500  
grown up as well Mr. Fletcher. Sorry

347

00:17:56.500 --> 00:17:59.600

just to stop you here. It's very interesting what you're saying.

348

00:17:59.600 --> 00:18:03.000

I'm conscious we have a lot to get through. So I

349

00:18:02.200 --> 00:18:05.200

wonder if there's a specific point you want

350

00:18:05.200 --> 00:18:10.300

to make sort of in in summary in

351

00:18:09.300 --> 00:18:10.500

summary.

352

00:18:11.400 --> 00:18:15.300

I'm not going to introduce you to the Roman matron

353

00:18:14.300 --> 00:18:17.800

who lived on that road the Viking.

354

00:18:18.700 --> 00:18:21.300

The tax and Sullivan buried his

355

00:18:21.300 --> 00:18:24.500

treasure there and if you go to the rich Museum, of course, I pull

356

00:18:24.500 --> 00:18:28.100

it over the so much. I want to say the the

357

00:18:27.100 --> 00:18:29.700

Norman French Monk.

358

00:18:31.100 --> 00:18:35.000

The lady of the manor is son raised

359

00:18:34.100 --> 00:18:36.100

the Glorious roof in the church.

360

00:18:37.600 --> 00:18:39.500  
all of this heritage

361  
00:18:42.300 --> 00:18:45.300  
comes right up to date when you visit

362  
00:18:45.300 --> 00:18:48.100  
a site like the bomber sites. I'm

363  
00:18:48.100 --> 00:18:51.500  
very pleased to see that that site is being reconsidered and

364  
00:18:51.500 --> 00:18:55.100  
until we know what the changes proposed are.

365  
00:18:54.100 --> 00:18:57.800  
Of course. I can't really talk about that.

366  
00:18:59.800 --> 00:19:02.200  
And you go east out of the out of the

367  
00:19:02.200 --> 00:19:05.300  
village to the Spurgeon Stone. I don't

368  
00:19:05.300 --> 00:19:09.600  
know how many people here know that River baptisms

369  
00:19:08.600 --> 00:19:12.400  
took place in the river lock in hundreds

370  
00:19:11.400 --> 00:19:15.200  
and hundreds of numbers. That's

371  
00:19:14.200 --> 00:19:17.200  
the future. I'm very sorry, but I think

372  
00:19:17.200 --> 00:19:22.200  
we do have a lot of this detail in the written submissions. So

373  
00:19:20.200 --> 00:19:23.900  
we it's lovely

374

00:19:23.900 --> 00:19:26.600

to hear but we do really have to move on. I'm afraid.

375

00:19:28.800 --> 00:19:31.700

Thank you for thank you very much. Yes. Thank

376

00:19:31.700 --> 00:19:34.700

you little home if we could

377

00:19:34.700 --> 00:19:37.300

cover I'm using some of my nature reserve time here

378

00:19:37.300 --> 00:19:40.500

because Abby won't be speaking. So I'm going

379

00:19:40.500 --> 00:19:43.200

to give you a little poem about the field. The snow

380

00:19:43.200 --> 00:19:46.100

is coming down around the country the coming down

381

00:19:46.100 --> 00:19:49.800

here. So and walk along past these

382

00:19:49.800 --> 00:19:52.300

fields of Isle and surrounds.

383

00:19:53.800 --> 00:19:55.100

And across the fields.

384

00:19:56.100 --> 00:19:59.800

Freckles with snow half thought

385

00:19:59.800 --> 00:20:02.300

the speculating Rooks at their

386

00:20:02.300 --> 00:20:04.500

nests called and saw from Elm tops.

387

00:20:05.200 --> 00:20:09.500  
Delicators flower of grass what we below could

388  
00:20:08.500 --> 00:20:12.100  
not see Winter Pass

389  
00:20:11.100 --> 00:20:14.600  
and silica project is the winter which is

390  
00:20:14.600 --> 00:20:17.200  
holding eyes of them in these Villages, you know

391  
00:20:17.200 --> 00:20:21.000  
grip and we must not let that winter

392  
00:20:20.300 --> 00:20:23.400  
destroy the communities.

393  
00:20:24.700 --> 00:20:28.100  
Thank you very much, Mr. Fletcher. So just

394  
00:20:27.100 --> 00:20:31.400  
returning to snail. Well, then historic

395  
00:20:30.400 --> 00:20:33.400  
landscape. Were there

396  
00:20:33.400 --> 00:20:36.200  
any specific points as any ideas wanted to make

397  
00:20:36.200 --> 00:20:38.300  
bearing in mind the

398  
00:20:40.200 --> 00:20:43.600  
potential proposed change before we move

399  
00:20:43.600 --> 00:20:47.100  
on later on to consider those

400  
00:20:46.100 --> 00:20:49.000  
changes more specifically.

401

00:20:51.200 --> 00:20:55.000

If not, then I will move on to impacts on

402

00:20:54.900 --> 00:20:57.400

Chippenham part registered parking

403

00:20:57.400 --> 00:21:00.900

garden and the adequacy of the proposed mitigation

404

00:21:00.900 --> 00:21:01.500

measures.

405

00:21:02.900 --> 00:21:04.400

So firstly to the applicant.

406

00:21:05.700 --> 00:21:10.300

In your answer to written question 1.4.4. You

407

00:21:09.300 --> 00:21:12.900

state that available historic mapping and

408

00:21:12.900 --> 00:21:15.600

documentary research was examined to

409

00:21:15.600 --> 00:21:18.300

establish the historic extent of Chippenham Park

410

00:21:18.300 --> 00:21:19.100

and its design.

411

00:21:20.200 --> 00:21:23.800

Is there any material that you can submit to the examination particularly in

412

00:21:23.800 --> 00:21:26.900

math form? For example historic map of

413

00:21:26.900 --> 00:21:29.400

Chippenham Park. I'm not come across

414

00:21:29.400 --> 00:21:32.600

anything unless I've missed it didn't somewhere that

415

00:21:32.600 --> 00:21:37.100

I'm aware that you have written historic information

416

00:21:36.100 --> 00:21:39.200

in your submission. But have you

417

00:21:39.200 --> 00:21:42.700

uncovered any historic maps showing the former extent

418

00:21:42.700 --> 00:21:46.000

of the of the historic Parkland

419

00:21:45.200 --> 00:21:48.100

and Associated Agricultural and

420

00:21:49.100 --> 00:21:50.300

Nick wrote the applicant

421

00:21:54.700 --> 00:21:58.400

all agents the applicant and we did we have done

422

00:21:57.400 --> 00:22:00.100

the documentary research and found.

423

00:22:02.300 --> 00:22:05.400

a fairly limited, but we have found some plans of

424

00:22:05.400 --> 00:22:07.400

the stock Parkland and

425

00:22:08.400 --> 00:22:10.300

that we haven't submitted as

426

00:22:11.100 --> 00:22:14.100

we haven't physically submitted as part of the application, but they were

427



00:22:14.100 --> 00:22:17.500  
certainly referenced and looked at our research.

428  
00:22:19.100 --> 00:22:21.500  
Is there a historic map that you could submit?

429  
00:22:23.800 --> 00:22:26.700  
Yep, we can we can get a copy. So when when

430  
00:22:26.700 --> 00:22:28.300  
could you do that by piece?

431  
00:22:32.100 --> 00:22:35.300  
We'll try to put it in by that I'm

432  
00:22:35.300 --> 00:22:36.900  
for deadline for thank you.

433  
00:22:48.600 --> 00:22:51.700  
So again to the applicant similarly,

434  
00:22:51.700 --> 00:22:54.200  
do you have any matte-based evidence following the

435  
00:22:54.200 --> 00:22:57.300  
site visit referred to in your answer to

436  
00:22:57.300 --> 00:23:00.500  
written question 1.4.4. You could some

437  
00:23:00.500 --> 00:23:03.800  
bit in terms of the extent and condition of surviving

438  
00:23:03.800 --> 00:23:05.900  
features of the park.

439  
00:23:06.600 --> 00:23:09.900  
So rather than just written description.

440  
00:23:11.200 --> 00:23:15.200  
Have you prepared have you prepared any map based

441

00:23:14.200 --> 00:23:16.800  
appraisal?

442

00:23:18.100 --> 00:23:20.500  
Or are you able to do that these?

443

00:23:24.500 --> 00:23:28.600  
I'm sorry. I can't hear you. We don't

444

00:23:28.600 --> 00:23:29.400  
have any.

445

00:23:31.100 --> 00:23:32.500  
actual map

446

00:23:33.800 --> 00:23:34.900  
plans showing that

447

00:23:36.200 --> 00:23:38.300  
I think probably produce one.

448

00:23:39.800 --> 00:23:40.200  
but

449

00:23:43.300 --> 00:23:46.200  
if it would help me can look at producing more mom, but that won't  
be

450

00:23:46.200 --> 00:23:49.300  
by deadline for it's more likely to be deadline for I think it  
would be helpful. I think

451

00:23:49.300 --> 00:23:53.800  
given the importance and extent of the registered parking Garden. I

452

00:23:52.800 --> 00:23:54.100  
think it would.

453

00:23:55.200 --> 00:23:58.800  
I would say in my experience. It would be normal with a planning

proposal

454

00:23:58.800 --> 00:24:01.900  
affecting a registered parking Garden to include

455

00:24:01.900 --> 00:24:04.900  
a full Heritage statement which would

456

00:24:04.900 --> 00:24:09.800  
include settings Etc

457

00:24:09.800 --> 00:24:12.400  
and condition. What's remaining.

458

00:24:13.700 --> 00:24:14.500  
That would be helpful.

459

00:24:20.500 --> 00:24:21.600  
and in terms of

460

00:24:22.900 --> 00:24:23.600  
mitigation

461

00:24:25.300 --> 00:24:27.400  
To the applicant consider whether the development.

462

00:24:28.900 --> 00:24:31.400  
Actually gives the opportunity to go further than

463

00:24:31.400 --> 00:24:32.800  
basic mitigation.

464

00:24:33.600 --> 00:24:36.500  
I rather than suggested plan

465

00:24:36.500 --> 00:24:39.600  
to give native species actually looking more towards

466

00:24:39.600 --> 00:24:42.900  
restoration mitigation as

467

00:24:42.900 --> 00:24:45.800

leading on from thinking about what what

468

00:24:45.800 --> 00:24:48.500

remains in terms of the features of the Historic Park.

469

00:24:51.300 --> 00:24:54.100

Amy Jones would be half the applicant and we've looked

470

00:24:54.100 --> 00:24:57.600

at mitigation planting in terms

471

00:24:57.600 --> 00:25:00.000

of the Avenue in trying to

472

00:25:00.500 --> 00:25:03.600

improve the Avenue as it is it's currently

473

00:25:03.600 --> 00:25:06.400

overgrown with some

474

00:25:06.400 --> 00:25:09.300

poor specimens and with

475

00:25:09.300 --> 00:25:12.600

would look to enhance of the

476

00:25:12.600 --> 00:25:14.600

Avenue as part of the proposals.

477

00:25:17.600 --> 00:25:20.400

By that do you mean look at enhancement in

478

00:25:20.400 --> 00:25:20.700

terms of?

479

00:25:21.800 --> 00:25:24.600

Of modern planting of native

480

00:25:24.600 --> 00:25:28.000

species. So more of a Countryside general

481

00:25:27.800 --> 00:25:31.200  
landscape enhancement rather than

482

00:25:30.200 --> 00:25:33.600  
a more specific enhancement in

483

00:25:33.600 --> 00:25:35.400  
terms of the registered parking Garden.

484

00:25:36.200 --> 00:25:39.300  
You know, that would be specific responsibility of service  
department.

485

00:25:40.400 --> 00:25:43.900  
Thank you. So to moving to the local authorities

486

00:25:43.900 --> 00:25:46.200  
with one of you like to lead

487

00:25:46.200 --> 00:25:46.700  
on this.

488

00:25:48.100 --> 00:25:48.700  
Yes.

489

00:25:49.600 --> 00:25:51.200  
just the Mohammed on behalf of

490

00:25:51.800 --> 00:25:55.000  
The two authorities East camps and Cambria County

491

00:25:54.400 --> 00:25:57.400  
Council. I'm gonna hand over to Mr. Patrick

492

00:25:57.400 --> 00:26:00.400  
in just a moment. But in terms of just some preliminary thoughts. I

493

00:26:00.400 --> 00:26:03.700  
think this item D is probably the most

494

00:26:03.700 --> 00:26:04.000  
important

495

00:26:04.900 --> 00:26:08.300  
For our purposes in terms of understanding the

496

00:26:08.300 --> 00:26:11.400  
the impact and the major change and you'll hear

497

00:26:11.400 --> 00:26:14.600  
about that in just a moment. But just to follow

498

00:26:14.600 --> 00:26:17.300  
what Miss Jones was just saying as I

499

00:26:17.300 --> 00:26:19.100  
understand it. Can we just get some clarification?

500

00:26:20.100 --> 00:26:23.200  
That there are some trees that are likely to be

501

00:26:23.200 --> 00:26:26.500  
lost around that Avenue that was just mentioned. I

502

00:26:26.500 --> 00:26:30.100  
don't know whether that's the case when we just get some sort of  
confirmation around

503

00:26:29.100 --> 00:26:32.300  
there and understand

504

00:26:32.300 --> 00:26:35.000  
there are trees that are to be lost around there. But but

505

00:26:35.100 --> 00:26:38.200  
this is the real issue for us in

506

00:26:38.200 --> 00:26:41.300  
two parts, really and the first part is exactly as you've

507

00:26:41.300 --> 00:26:42.000  
identified about.

508

00:26:42.900 --> 00:26:45.500  
the context history any documentary

509

00:26:45.500 --> 00:26:48.700  
material the maps around the registered parking

510

00:26:48.700 --> 00:26:50.400  
garden and then the second element about

511

00:26:51.200 --> 00:26:55.000  
Any adequacy of the mitigation so with that

512

00:26:54.600 --> 00:26:57.200  
sort of framework in those two

513

00:26:57.200 --> 00:26:59.000  
parts. I'm going to hand over to departure.

514

00:27:01.900 --> 00:27:04.300  
I think

515

00:27:04.300 --> 00:27:08.100  
your question was about the effectiveness

516

00:27:07.100 --> 00:27:09.000  
of mitigation.

517

00:27:09.900 --> 00:27:12.200  
The council's position

518

00:27:12.200 --> 00:27:13.300  
is that in?

519

00:27:14.400 --> 00:27:17.700  
in terms of historic England setting Guidance

520

00:27:17.700 --> 00:27:19.800

the conflict here is one of

521

00:27:20.700 --> 00:27:24.900  
Scale and proximity we accept

522

00:27:24.900 --> 00:27:27.300  
that mitigate the planting can

523

00:27:27.300 --> 00:27:28.900  
affect afford screening.

524

00:27:29.800 --> 00:27:32.200  
on a localized basis, but it doesn't

525

00:27:33.500 --> 00:27:35.300  
really address the fundamental

526

00:27:36.300 --> 00:27:41.000  
conflict between these two completely contrasting almost

527

00:27:39.000 --> 00:27:42.300  
irreconcilable land

528

00:27:42.300 --> 00:27:44.400  
uses. So in terms of

529

00:27:45.400 --> 00:27:47.200  
the amount of landscape restoration

530

00:27:49.400 --> 00:27:53.200  
Some could be achieved in localized areas, but

531

00:27:52.200 --> 00:27:54.600  
from our perspective it wouldn't.

532

00:27:55.300 --> 00:27:58.600  
It wouldn't immediate the impact of this

533

00:27:58.600 --> 00:28:01.100  
development within the



534

00:28:01.100 --> 00:28:01.700  
setting of the park.

535

00:28:06.800 --> 00:28:09.700  
Thank you any other comments from the local authorities?

536

00:28:13.300 --> 00:28:15.700  
and mystical

537

00:28:19.600 --> 00:28:22.900  
Richard Target for say notice on occur. I'd just

538

00:28:22.900 --> 00:28:25.100  
like to pick up really on the point that you're raising there

539

00:28:25.100 --> 00:28:28.300  
Mom about how difficult it is to understand and appreciate

540

00:28:28.300 --> 00:28:31.300  
the full extent of the Heritage asset were obviously working from

541

00:28:31.300 --> 00:28:34.100  
the the designation Park and garden which is

542

00:28:34.100 --> 00:28:37.500  
based around the more modern iteration of the park and

543

00:28:37.500 --> 00:28:40.400  
Avenue. Obviously, there is scope there for earlier

544

00:28:40.400 --> 00:28:43.600  
features, which perhaps don't come through into the present  
landscape, but

545

00:28:43.600 --> 00:28:46.000  
it's also worth thinking and I think we run the

546

00:28:46.200 --> 00:28:49.400  
risk of looking the significance of the Avenue in particular as the

547

00:28:49.400 --> 00:28:52.300  
original entrance way to the park and I think the parks

548  
00:28:52.300 --> 00:28:55.300  
and Gardens there's a definite tendency to think about the park as

549  
00:28:55.300 --> 00:28:58.400  
an inward looking space separated from

550  
00:28:58.400 --> 00:29:01.100  
the outside world. Whereas what we're dealing with here. Of course  
is

551  
00:29:01.100 --> 00:29:04.800  
is the the young deliberately designed approach to

552  
00:29:04.800 --> 00:29:07.500  
the park so that view as you progress

553  
00:29:07.500 --> 00:29:10.200  
on the Avenue towards the park the way you read the

554  
00:29:10.200 --> 00:29:13.900  
wall of the park as an area being defined is crucial

555  
00:29:13.900 --> 00:29:17.000  
to itifications and I think mitigation that

556  
00:29:16.300 --> 00:29:19.400  
we're talking about and additional

557  
00:29:19.600 --> 00:29:22.500  
Meaning obviously Setters those views has indeed

558  
00:29:22.500 --> 00:29:25.000  
with the construction the scheme itself. And so I

559  
00:29:25.100 --> 00:29:27.100  
think there's a very fundamental issue as

560  
00:29:27.900 --> 00:29:30.300

has just been raised there between that that shift in

561

00:29:30.300 --> 00:29:33.400

landscape character from an open agricultural landscape

562

00:29:33.400 --> 00:29:36.200

within which the Avenue can be read and within which the park can

563

00:29:36.200 --> 00:29:39.500

be read from the Avenue and other places and a much more enclosed  
and

564

00:29:39.500 --> 00:29:42.100

segregated send me industrialized landscape within which

565

00:29:42.100 --> 00:29:45.300

those those significances are lost and on the

566

00:29:45.300 --> 00:29:48.300

subjective of restoration again, if we're talking about restoring  
the

567

00:29:48.300 --> 00:29:51.700

Avenue rather than screening. Yeah, for example, again, there's

568

00:29:51.700 --> 00:29:54.200

a definite need to understand the historic character of

569

00:29:54.200 --> 00:29:57.300

that Avenue appreciate and indeed if we're restoring

570

00:29:57.300 --> 00:30:00.800

the Avenue that doesn't necessarily offset the severance

571

00:30:00.800 --> 00:30:03.900

of those longer views and that landscape into

572

00:30:03.900 --> 00:30:06.200

relationship that we currently see and experience.

573

00:30:06.900 --> 00:30:07.400

Okay.

574

00:30:09.700 --> 00:30:12.000

Thank you, and actually nicely leads me

575

00:30:12.100 --> 00:30:15.100

on to always listen to how many you very sorry. I

576

00:30:15.100 --> 00:30:18.600

thought you're about to put your hand up. I haven't forgotten that we have Mr.

577

00:30:18.600 --> 00:30:21.100

Fletcher from historically England online. But I just

578

00:30:21.100 --> 00:30:26.100

wanted to turn to the applicant again, please and another documentary

579

00:30:25.100 --> 00:30:28.100

refer you to please which is

580

00:30:28.900 --> 00:30:30.300

chapter seven

581

00:30:31.400 --> 00:30:36.600

of the environmental statement cultural heritage app-039

582

00:30:37.600 --> 00:30:41.600

And in paragraph 7.7.77.

583

00:30:43.400 --> 00:30:46.000

and the applicant is talking about the

584

00:30:48.200 --> 00:30:51.500

the carefully design mitigation and there remains

585

00:30:51.500 --> 00:30:54.500

an impact on the Parkland due to the introduction

586

00:30:54.500 --> 00:30:58.000

of modern infrastructure within the formerly agricultural landscape.

587

00:30:58.600 --> 00:31:01.900

The landscape will continue to be read as Open Fields.

588

00:31:01.900 --> 00:31:04.500

However, the modern infrastructure is

589

00:31:04.500 --> 00:31:07.100

in conflict with the natural setting of the asset.

590

00:31:09.500 --> 00:31:12.200

famous please in a bit more detail in there's a

591

00:31:12.200 --> 00:31:16.100

conflict here between the the setting

592

00:31:15.100 --> 00:31:18.900

of The registered parking garden and

593

00:31:18.900 --> 00:31:21.600

the setting including parts of

594

00:31:21.600 --> 00:31:24.500

the Agricultural landscape that historically have

595

00:31:24.500 --> 00:31:27.300

been part of Chippenham Park in

596

00:31:27.300 --> 00:31:29.000

the widest sense and

597

00:31:30.500 --> 00:31:33.900

What would be appropriate in that landscape in

598

00:31:33.900 --> 00:31:37.300

terms of mitigation for the proposed

599

00:31:36.300 --> 00:31:38.300

solar farm?

600

00:31:39.100 --> 00:31:42.700

So, can you please explain a little more about how you have ended

601

00:31:42.700 --> 00:31:44.900

to resolve that fundamental conflict?

602

00:31:46.700 --> 00:31:49.200

Bridge to anything. I think

603

00:31:49.200 --> 00:31:49.700

we just

604

00:31:50.700 --> 00:31:53.500

in terms of being a conflict I

605

00:31:53.500 --> 00:31:53.500

think.

606

00:31:54.800 --> 00:31:57.400

come on to the resolution, but I just want to be absolutely

607

00:31:57.400 --> 00:31:59.000

clear that we're not talking across purposes because

608

00:31:59.900 --> 00:32:02.300

the designated the Avenue Falls within

609

00:32:02.300 --> 00:32:05.300

the designation the land on which we're developing

610

00:32:05.300 --> 00:32:05.600

does not.

611

00:32:06.600 --> 00:32:09.100

And I think that's what we're talking there is

612

00:32:09.100 --> 00:32:12.900

about the setting which is a Farmland setting for

613

00:32:12.900 --> 00:32:16.200  
the wide for the designated asset. So

614  
00:32:15.200 --> 00:32:17.700  
that conflict here is with the

615  
00:32:19.500 --> 00:32:22.300  
the former use of the current use

616  
00:32:22.300 --> 00:32:25.300  
of the setting I'm talking that's very clearly

617  
00:32:25.300 --> 00:32:28.300  
about the setting of The Heritage asset the setting of

618  
00:32:28.300 --> 00:32:31.800  
The registered path regarding which would encompass

619  
00:32:32.700 --> 00:32:36.000  
certainly part of that agricultural landscape

620  
00:32:36.600 --> 00:32:38.400  
So I think it's changed.

621  
00:32:39.400 --> 00:32:43.400  
The question of whether how that conflict can

622  
00:32:43.400 --> 00:32:43.700  
be addressed.

623  
00:32:47.400 --> 00:32:49.200  
Yes, Amy. James will be happy and

624  
00:32:50.200 --> 00:32:52.100  
I think we've we've

625  
00:32:53.600 --> 00:32:56.300  
recognized that there will be a significant effect

626  
00:32:56.300 --> 00:32:59.600  
on on the donation asset through changes

627

00:32:59.600 --> 00:33:00.200  
to setting

628

00:33:00.900 --> 00:33:03.600  
And that comes from a change from

629

00:33:03.600 --> 00:33:06.600  
what is now a modern agriculture to

630

00:33:06.600 --> 00:33:08.700  
more infrastructure-based.

631

00:33:10.200 --> 00:33:13.400  
Character I think it's important

632

00:33:13.400 --> 00:33:16.700  
to remember that the modern agricultural setting

633

00:33:16.700 --> 00:33:19.400  
it is now is not historic.

634

00:33:20.200 --> 00:33:21.000  
setting

635

00:33:21.700 --> 00:33:24.300  
when the park was built it is it is a

636

00:33:24.300 --> 00:33:27.600  
modern agricultural setting now and we

637

00:33:27.600 --> 00:33:30.400  
accept that there is a fundamental change

638

00:33:30.400 --> 00:33:33.400  
in that to infrastructure and in our

639

00:33:33.400 --> 00:33:37.100  
mitigation, we've tried to to balance and

640



00:33:36.100 --> 00:33:39.500  
it goes back to all been

641  
00:33:39.500 --> 00:33:42.900  
said previously balanced screening

642  
00:33:42.900 --> 00:33:45.500  
of that infrastructure against what

643  
00:33:45.500 --> 00:33:48.200  
was the intent of the Parkland as an open?

644  
00:33:49.700 --> 00:33:52.500  
Landscape and particularly from the Avenue which forms of

645  
00:33:52.500 --> 00:33:56.400  
designation we've deliberately not

646  
00:33:55.400 --> 00:33:59.500  
provide screening. So

647  
00:33:58.500 --> 00:34:01.500  
the the appreciation of

648  
00:34:01.500 --> 00:34:02.900  
Department can still be

649  
00:34:03.600 --> 00:34:06.500  
Can still come from traveling down

650  
00:34:06.500 --> 00:34:09.200  
the Avenue, so that's that's the conflict that we've

651  
00:34:09.200 --> 00:34:12.500  
tried to kind of resolve within our

652  
00:34:12.500 --> 00:34:12.900  
mitigation.

653  
00:34:15.500 --> 00:34:15.500  
Thank you.

654

00:34:16.900 --> 00:34:19.300

Would any of the local authorities like to

655

00:34:19.300 --> 00:34:22.600

comment on I'm thinking in particular

656

00:34:22.600 --> 00:34:25.600

on issues relating to the setting of the relationship

657

00:34:25.600 --> 00:34:28.400

and garden? Yes, Mr. Mohammed Mohamed. I'm going to invite

658

00:34:28.400 --> 00:34:31.100

the Patrick to speak but I I'm with you

659

00:34:31.100 --> 00:34:34.100

in that we're not a prosperous as we are talking about the

660

00:34:34.100 --> 00:34:34.600

setting.

661

00:34:35.400 --> 00:34:38.100

Way that you've described it. I'm still waiting

662

00:34:38.100 --> 00:34:41.300

for that clarification about the trees on the Avenue. I don't know if you've got

663

00:34:41.300 --> 00:34:44.300

that that would be useful but I think that question of

664

00:34:44.300 --> 00:34:47.900

the conflict the setting of The registered part and garden and precisely

665

00:34:47.900 --> 00:34:50.700

how the applicant has worked out. What's appropriate

666

00:34:50.700 --> 00:34:53.600

mitigation given the application

667

00:34:53.600 --> 00:34:56.500  
and given the the particular change

668

00:34:56.500 --> 00:34:59.200  
that's going to occurred to

669

00:34:59.200 --> 00:34:59.600  
speak to that.

670

00:35:02.400 --> 00:35:05.700  
I think I'd say that I mean we appreciate

671

00:35:05.700 --> 00:35:06.200  
that the

672

00:35:07.200 --> 00:35:10.200  
setting of the park has been affected by

673

00:35:10.200 --> 00:35:13.200  
modern agricultural practices. I'm not

674

00:35:13.200 --> 00:35:14.500  
entirely persuaded that.

675

00:35:15.200 --> 00:35:18.400  
And the landscape that we have there at the moment, which is  
agricultural is

676

00:35:18.400 --> 00:35:21.900  
radically different to the agricultural landscape

677

00:35:21.900 --> 00:35:24.500  
of the surrounded part of but I

678

00:35:24.500 --> 00:35:25.200  
still 300 years.

679

00:35:26.700 --> 00:35:29.200  
So it will always have been open in the way.

680

00:35:29.200 --> 00:35:29.900

It is at the moment.

681

00:35:33.300 --> 00:35:35.900

Where possibly it's slightly cross purposes with.

682

00:35:36.900 --> 00:35:39.600

The landscape view in as much as we

683

00:35:39.600 --> 00:35:42.300

would regard screening as being

684

00:35:42.300 --> 00:35:43.600

in an intrusion in itself.

685

00:35:44.300 --> 00:35:47.300

Just because it's a it's a change this latest

686

00:35:47.300 --> 00:35:47.800

quote.

687

00:35:52.500 --> 00:35:53.400

Thank you.

688

00:35:54.800 --> 00:35:57.900

Any further comments in relation? Yes, Mr. Gazelle

689

00:35:57.900 --> 00:35:58.100

Co.

690

00:35:59.200 --> 00:36:02.100

It breaks your target say no to Sonic or I just want to very very

691

00:36:02.100 --> 00:36:05.900

quickly pick up on that point about the notion of the modern agricultural landscape.

692

00:36:05.900 --> 00:36:08.200

I think in landscape history

693

00:36:08.200 --> 00:36:11.300  
terms and in archeological terms. We need to be very careful about

694

00:36:11.300 --> 00:36:14.100  
assuming that the modern landscape is entirely a product

695

00:36:14.100 --> 00:36:17.700  
of modern agriculture. We can look at certainly Ordinance survey

696

00:36:17.700 --> 00:36:20.100  
maps and further back. Hopefully the historic maps that will

697

00:36:20.100 --> 00:36:23.200  
be given the next deadline will lose to date

698

00:36:23.200 --> 00:36:27.100  
how unchanged many aspects of the landscape surrounding

699

00:36:26.100 --> 00:36:29.200  
the park actually are including of course, the Avenue

700

00:36:29.200 --> 00:36:32.200  
and The Lodges and The Wider landscape the lion killings which

701

00:36:32.200 --> 00:36:35.600  
will come on to so I'd be very wary about suggesting. It's in

702

00:36:35.600 --> 00:36:38.300  
a modern agricultural landscape in anything beyond the sense that  
we

703

00:36:38.300 --> 00:36:39.500  
are in modern times.

704

00:36:40.300 --> 00:36:43.200  
Okay. Thank you very much and Mr. Fletcher.

705

00:36:45.900 --> 00:36:46.300  
online

706

00:36:47.900 --> 00:36:50.500

Thank you. Doctor will Fletcher for historic England?

707

00:36:50.500 --> 00:36:53.200

And yes, I just wanted to sort of race

708

00:36:53.200 --> 00:36:53.500

rate. I'm

709

00:36:54.500 --> 00:36:57.900

Will an interesting debate on on the setting

710

00:36:57.900 --> 00:37:00.800

and the issues. I think that's not forget.

711

00:37:00.800 --> 00:37:03.200

This is you know, we talk about quite a high level

712

00:37:03.200 --> 00:37:06.500

of harm here in terms of in terms of the impact on the setting and  
I think we refer

713

00:37:06.500 --> 00:37:10.300

back to that kind of policy matters about

714

00:37:10.300 --> 00:37:13.200

about where where the harm can be balanced in

715

00:37:13.200 --> 00:37:16.400

in terms of policy and directing the,

716

00:37:16.400 --> 00:37:19.400

you know, your authority to to understand and to

717

00:37:19.400 --> 00:37:22.200

take that decision. We are talking about high degree of harm. I

718

00:37:22.200 --> 00:37:25.900

think we always felt that the harm was was greater than was  
anticipated

719

00:37:25.900 --> 00:37:28.400

in there in the application and the applicants

720

00:37:28.400 --> 00:37:31.400

for you and set out in our in our written rep, but I

721

00:37:31.400 --> 00:37:34.300

think you know, we are whether we

722

00:37:34.300 --> 00:37:37.500

talk about the nuances of the landscape or what we are still talking about a high degree

723

00:37:37.500 --> 00:37:40.800

of harming policy terms and I think that we shouldn't forget

724

00:37:40.800 --> 00:37:40.900

that.

725

00:37:45.400 --> 00:37:48.500

Thank you very much. Any further comments before I invite the

726

00:37:48.500 --> 00:37:49.600

applicant to respond?

727

00:37:50.500 --> 00:37:52.800

history

728

00:37:53.900 --> 00:37:56.700

He helps the tree that I was the trees that I was referring

729

00:37:56.700 --> 00:37:57.900

to I think.

730

00:37:58.900 --> 00:38:01.000

Anything that Max six of the

731

00:38:01.200 --> 00:38:04.200

tree report, so I can't hear you. Sorry and the tree that I was trying

732

00:38:04.200 --> 00:38:08.700

to get some clarifications shown in the in maps six

733

00:38:08.700 --> 00:38:12.100

of the tree reporting case it helps them with the clarification just

734

00:38:11.100 --> 00:38:13.100

in relation to the Avenue.

735

00:38:17.500 --> 00:38:22.300

Do you have that in do you have that information mom that

736

00:38:21.300 --> 00:38:24.200

grabbed the app before I hand over to Ms.

737

00:38:24.200 --> 00:38:27.600

Jones the substantive Heritage bit they met them they will indeed

738

00:38:27.600 --> 00:38:31.900

be some loss of trees but there's a commitment in the same to

739

00:38:31.900 --> 00:38:34.300

minimize that as much as possible into avoid it as

740

00:38:34.300 --> 00:38:34.400

possible.

741

00:38:39.400 --> 00:38:39.500

So

742

00:38:40.900 --> 00:38:44.000

Mr. Tony did you didn't you didn't

743

00:38:43.200 --> 00:38:45.900

have any further comments it?

744

00:38:50.500 --> 00:38:52.600

and James only have the app can

745

00:38:53.700 --> 00:38:53.800

I



746

00:38:54.600 --> 00:38:57.200  
don't have any particular points to

747

00:38:57.200 --> 00:39:00.700  
make I think I just I would just reiterate that we accept

748

00:39:00.700 --> 00:39:04.000  
that it is very hard balanced to make and we've we've taken

749

00:39:03.400 --> 00:39:07.100  
into consideration in the mitigation. We've accepted

750

00:39:07.100 --> 00:39:10.300  
that there is we'll see harm and we've tried

751

00:39:10.300 --> 00:39:13.100  
to balance that in in the mitigation taking as far as we

752

00:39:13.100 --> 00:39:14.100  
feel we can.

753

00:39:15.600 --> 00:39:18.000  
Thank you for that. Just because Elko.

754

00:39:18.900 --> 00:39:21.200  
Richard hogget say no to Sonica. Sorry. I

755

00:39:21.200 --> 00:39:24.300  
don't have the tree report to hand. But could you just clarify the  
trees you're

756

00:39:24.300 --> 00:39:27.700  
proposing to sell are they in the existing Avenue

757

00:39:27.700 --> 00:39:30.500  
or are they outside the existing Avenue IE. Are

758

00:39:30.500 --> 00:39:33.400  
they within the designated area or either within it setting? Sorry,

759

00:39:33.400 --> 00:39:34.200

I don't have the map.

760

00:39:36.600 --> 00:39:39.200

Yeah, I think Richardson of the

761

00:39:39.200 --> 00:39:40.500

applicant. I think we're going to have to see.

762

00:39:41.700 --> 00:39:44.900

Get an overlay to where that's out. There's an area which is

763

00:39:44.900 --> 00:39:46.700

identified for the cable Crossing.

764

00:39:47.400 --> 00:39:53.300

Where there's an identification on the tree protection

765

00:39:51.300 --> 00:39:54.200

plan that there's

766

00:39:54.200 --> 00:39:55.600

an area where trees may be lost.

767

00:39:56.300 --> 00:39:58.300

But whether that

768

00:39:59.600 --> 00:40:02.400

lies whether any trees in

769

00:40:02.400 --> 00:40:05.500

that lie within the area that's

770

00:40:05.500 --> 00:40:08.500

shown on the designation without to

771

00:40:08.500 --> 00:40:11.400

I think we'll have to check my web and overlay. Could you please check that

772

00:40:11.400 --> 00:40:13.000  
and then submit

773  
00:40:13.700 --> 00:40:15.500  
details at what deadline for

774  
00:40:16.700 --> 00:40:17.000  
well

775  
00:40:18.500 --> 00:40:21.600  
I'm conscious Madam the you asked

776  
00:40:21.600 --> 00:40:26.400  
for a couple things. First of all, you asked for a plan

777  
00:40:24.400 --> 00:40:27.500  
showing the historic plan

778  
00:40:27.500 --> 00:40:30.400  
which we have so we can provide this plan

779  
00:40:30.400 --> 00:40:33.400  
is already an application already examination document

780  
00:40:33.400 --> 00:40:36.500  
but be overlay will require us

781  
00:40:36.500 --> 00:40:38.900  
to work. I wonder if we should instead pick that up when we

782  
00:40:39.700 --> 00:40:42.200  
Provide the further document which you ask for which

783  
00:40:42.200 --> 00:40:45.400  
was to identify the current features in

784  
00:40:45.400 --> 00:40:48.100  
plan for yes. If you turning corporate that as long

785  
00:40:48.100 --> 00:40:51.100  
as it shows clearly which trees would be

786

00:40:51.100 --> 00:40:54.700

likely to be realized. Yes. I mean the second

787

00:40:55.900 --> 00:40:58.500

The two documents maps that I

788

00:40:58.500 --> 00:41:01.700

referred to refer to the historic one and secondly the the

789

00:41:01.700 --> 00:41:04.300

analysis of the landscape now.

790

00:41:04.300 --> 00:41:07.300

Yes, and I don't see why you I mean

791

00:41:07.300 --> 00:41:10.500

obviously that would be taking it on a step further to the future

792

00:41:10.500 --> 00:41:10.900

but

793

00:41:12.200 --> 00:41:15.800

I don't see that's a problem. As long as it's clear. No, I don't

794

00:41:15.800 --> 00:41:18.600

visit us a problem always saying

795

00:41:18.600 --> 00:41:21.300

and by saying that's more likely to say don't five as a

796

00:41:21.300 --> 00:41:24.400

deadline for is soon upon us. So just in terms

797

00:41:24.400 --> 00:41:24.600

of preparing.

798

00:41:25.000 --> 00:41:25.300

so

799

00:41:30.300 --> 00:41:30.900  
mystical

800  
00:41:33.200 --> 00:41:36.000  
Sorry Mom, Richard Hockett for say no to Seneca. I've just been

801  
00:41:36.500 --> 00:41:39.200  
handed a copy of the relevant plan. It does look the the

802  
00:41:39.200 --> 00:41:42.100  
cable run does cross the Avenue. So any loss of

803  
00:41:42.100 --> 00:41:45.500  
trees because you really relate to trees within the Avenue, which  
is

804  
00:41:45.500 --> 00:41:48.500  
obviously not a setting issue, but it is a direct impact on

805  
00:41:48.500 --> 00:41:51.200  
it as you're aware. Thank you.

806  
00:41:53.700 --> 00:41:56.400  
So I would like to move on now, please

807  
00:41:56.400 --> 00:41:59.700  
to the islem plane

808  
00:41:59.700 --> 00:42:02.300  
crash site, which obviously is

809  
00:42:02.300 --> 00:42:05.800  
now subject to a potential change request.

810  
00:42:08.400 --> 00:42:11.400  
So I will change the question from what I was originally going

811  
00:42:11.400 --> 00:42:11.800  
to ask.

812  
00:42:12.400 --> 00:42:16.100

And off the applicant, when do

813

00:42:15.100 --> 00:42:18.300  
you expect to get a decision from the

814

00:42:18.300 --> 00:42:19.200  
GCC?

815

00:42:20.500 --> 00:42:24.300  
Do you have a time scale on that in terms of the exclusion

816

00:42:23.300 --> 00:42:24.700  
area?

817

00:42:25.600 --> 00:42:28.100  
The plane crash site man that Grant the

818

00:42:28.100 --> 00:42:30.400  
applicant. I'll ask Mr. Mays if you know.

819

00:42:32.900 --> 00:42:35.100  
Sometimes on behalf of

820

00:42:35.100 --> 00:42:36.100  
the applicant. Good afternoon.

821

00:42:37.700 --> 00:42:40.300  
I have been in correspondence with the J Triple C

822

00:42:40.300 --> 00:42:43.600  
and they told me that it between two

823

00:42:43.600 --> 00:42:43.900  
and three months.

824

00:42:45.100 --> 00:42:47.600  
Three months up to three minutes three months.

825

00:42:55.500 --> 00:42:58.300  
See, yeah, sorry that with as you'll have

826

00:42:58.300 --> 00:43:01.100

seen from that from me and submission. We print

827

00:43:01.100 --> 00:43:04.200

proposing still with this by way of requirement. So it's not something

828

00:43:04.200 --> 00:43:07.800

that you don't do any trouble you're consenting

829

00:43:07.800 --> 00:43:11.300

stage in terms of the need for it for joules. He

830

00:43:11.300 --> 00:43:11.500

can send

831

00:43:12.500 --> 00:43:15.500

I think it would be very useful to get a better idea during the

832

00:43:15.500 --> 00:43:18.400

examination of what this is likely to

833

00:43:18.400 --> 00:43:20.300

mean. I mean the the

834

00:43:21.800 --> 00:43:24.200

two plans that you submitted in

835

00:43:24.200 --> 00:43:24.500

the

836

00:43:25.700 --> 00:43:28.300

Recent update document or actually

837

00:43:28.300 --> 00:43:31.700

quite hard to read and it's quite hard

838

00:43:31.700 --> 00:43:33.600

to work out. How much of

839

00:43:34.700 --> 00:43:36.100  
land pass all

840  
00:43:38.400 --> 00:43:41.000  
a05 would actually be affected.

841  
00:43:41.700 --> 00:43:44.400  
Man that grant for the applicant. Yeah. Yes. No, we understand that

842  
00:43:44.400 --> 00:43:47.500  
as part of the incoming change request. There will be clearer  
plans,

843  
00:43:47.500 --> 00:43:50.200  
right if that's helpful. So it will be bad at set

844  
00:43:50.200 --> 00:43:52.000  
out in a clear Way Forward. Yeah.

845  
00:43:53.300 --> 00:43:56.300  
But you also just explain what happens if you don't get

846  
00:43:56.300 --> 00:43:56.900  
concerned.

847  
00:43:58.800 --> 00:44:01.200  
And if we Nick around the applicant if

848  
00:44:01.200 --> 00:44:04.800  
we don't get consent, it's a hundred meter radius. If

849  
00:44:04.800 --> 00:44:07.200  
we do get consent, it's not building within a 50

850  
00:44:07.200 --> 00:44:11.100  
meter radius of the crash like so the consent whatever

851  
00:44:10.100 --> 00:44:13.200  
happens there won't be anything over

852  
00:44:13.200 --> 00:44:16.200



within 50 meters of the crash site and the consent

853

00:44:16.200 --> 00:44:18.900

relates to between 50 meters and 100 meters.

854

00:44:22.800 --> 00:44:25.500

I suppose I'm asking if you I'm supposing asking

855

00:44:25.500 --> 00:44:28.500

on the basis that you don't get consent and consent

856

00:44:28.500 --> 00:44:28.800

is needed.

857

00:44:29.800 --> 00:44:32.500

Because I'm not quite sure whether you've reached

858

00:44:32.500 --> 00:44:35.600

the stage wave established with the this relevance Authority

859

00:44:35.600 --> 00:44:38.700

whether where the consent is actually needed

860

00:44:38.700 --> 00:44:39.900

or am I wrong about that?

861

00:44:42.400 --> 00:44:45.100

A certain crumbly. We've been

862

00:44:45.100 --> 00:44:46.900

told we do need it. I whom

863

00:44:47.800 --> 00:44:50.700

Jccc, hmm. Okay. And when

864

00:44:50.700 --> 00:44:51.700

was that exactly?

865

00:44:54.900 --> 00:44:55.800

Hand over to Mr. May sure.

866

00:44:57.300 --> 00:45:00.400

And he made some behalf of the applicant. I spoke to

867

00:45:00.400 --> 00:45:03.900

them on the telephone a couple of weeks ago and they've sent

868

00:45:03.900 --> 00:45:06.800

me some details on forms to

869

00:45:06.800 --> 00:45:09.500

be filled in which we have done. And so we're just

870

00:45:09.500 --> 00:45:11.400

about process that application.

871

00:45:12.700 --> 00:45:13.400

for the license

872

00:45:16.400 --> 00:45:16.800

Thank you.

873

00:45:19.400 --> 00:45:22.400

Thank you to please still have a representative

874

00:45:22.400 --> 00:45:26.300

of Iceland Parish Council. Yes. Did

875

00:45:25.300 --> 00:45:27.700

you want to make any comment?

876

00:45:30.700 --> 00:45:33.500

Awesome, please put sorry. Yes, please speaking to

877

00:45:33.500 --> 00:45:37.600

the microphone. I don't

878

00:45:37.600 --> 00:45:38.800

think I might have phones on.

879

00:45:42.200 --> 00:45:45.600

No, the red

880

00:45:45.600 --> 00:45:46.500  
light needs to be off.

881

00:45:47.300 --> 00:45:50.500  
And try

882

00:45:50.500 --> 00:45:51.600  
that it's better. Thank you.

883

00:45:53.500 --> 00:45:56.400  
I'm obviously pleased to hear that

884

00:45:56.400 --> 00:45:59.300  
they finally recognized the

885

00:45:59.300 --> 00:46:02.400  
importance of this particular area and to our

886

00:46:02.400 --> 00:46:06.000  
village it comes in The Wider context of the importance

887

00:46:05.100 --> 00:46:08.400  
of that whole e05 the number

888

00:46:08.400 --> 00:46:11.300  
of concerns. I've heard today which are very clearly

889

00:46:11.300 --> 00:46:12.700  
been made about the side.

890

00:46:13.200 --> 00:46:16.800  
I think that you can't look upon this in isolation

891

00:46:16.800 --> 00:46:19.200  
or just it feels to me a bit

892

00:46:19.200 --> 00:46:19.900  
of a salt.

893

00:46:20.900 --> 00:46:24.200

I think there are far more fundamental issues around site

894

00:46:23.200 --> 00:46:25.000

here five.

895

00:46:25.600 --> 00:46:27.000

Then they allude to it here.

896

00:46:30.100 --> 00:46:30.800

Thank you very much.

897

00:46:32.700 --> 00:46:35.300

Local authorities. Who do you like to respond?

898

00:46:38.600 --> 00:46:44.700

Mr. Mohamad, sorry, we're

899

00:46:44.700 --> 00:46:45.600

we've seen.

900

00:46:46.800 --> 00:46:49.100

We've seen what's been proposed. I think the

901

00:46:49.100 --> 00:46:52.800

latest documents suggested that scoping work and for

902

00:46:52.800 --> 00:46:55.100

more for more materials to be

903

00:46:55.100 --> 00:46:58.500

procured and relation to a monument and

904

00:46:58.500 --> 00:47:01.200

all the rest of it, but be well we welcome that and

905

00:47:01.200 --> 00:47:05.000

we look forward to seeing what that looks like, but beyond that, I think we

906

00:47:04.300 --> 00:47:06.000  
welcome the applicants.

907  
00:47:07.200 --> 00:47:10.100  
Suggestion of staying away for from that area

908  
00:47:10.100 --> 00:47:11.400  
in the way that they proposed.

909  
00:47:14.500 --> 00:47:18.300  
Thank you. Any further clients? Yes, please

910  
00:47:17.300 --> 00:47:20.100  
Richard hogget for say

911  
00:47:20.100 --> 00:47:24.000  
no to Sonica and just a few points on the crash sites.

912  
00:47:23.200 --> 00:47:26.100  
And the first is is to highlight the fact that

913  
00:47:26.100 --> 00:47:29.400  
it wasn't originally included in the Heritage assessment at all

914  
00:47:29.400 --> 00:47:32.800  
as a site known locally and indeed

915  
00:47:32.800 --> 00:47:35.200  
more reasonably for quite some time. That's obviously

916  
00:47:35.200 --> 00:47:38.700  
an oversight in the first instance. And it's

917  
00:47:38.700 --> 00:47:41.200  
a shame that the aerial photographic survey wasn't

918  
00:47:41.200 --> 00:47:44.700  
undertaken as part of the Heritage assessment for reasons of covid

919  
00:47:44.700 --> 00:47:47.500  
and amongst other things because a parallel air

920

00:47:47.500 --> 00:47:51.000

photo assessment undertaken by historic England had identified

921

00:47:50.600 --> 00:47:53.200

crash site from aerial photography from the

922

00:47:53.200 --> 00:47:56.300

1940s and it was that survey which was responsible for

923

00:47:56.300 --> 00:47:59.900

typing added to the Cambridge HR a year ago. And before

924

00:47:59.900 --> 00:48:02.100

the geophysical survey was interpreted for

925

00:48:02.100 --> 00:48:05.600

the applicant. So the geophysical survey that's

926

00:48:05.600 --> 00:48:06.000

admitted.

927

00:48:06.600 --> 00:48:09.200

Has coupled besides has picked up the

928

00:48:09.200 --> 00:48:12.800

site of the crash as a as a magnetic normally surrounded by

929

00:48:12.800 --> 00:48:15.100

debris scatter, but at no point has that site been

930

00:48:15.100 --> 00:48:19.000

interpreted as a crash until it was brought to the attention of the applicant by members

931

00:48:18.200 --> 00:48:22.200

of the public and by the local community particularly and

932

00:48:21.200 --> 00:48:24.400

so on in that sense, it's symptomatic of

933

00:48:24.400 --> 00:48:27.700

emissions from the Heritage statement and

934

00:48:27.700 --> 00:48:30.200

the follow-on from that. I'm pleased to hear it's now

935

00:48:30.200 --> 00:48:33.500

with the ministry of Defense. So please hear that there are proposals do

936

00:48:33.500 --> 00:48:36.500

exclude crafts. Like obviously welcome those in

937

00:48:36.500 --> 00:48:39.400

terms of the two proposals put in front of us today

938

00:48:39.400 --> 00:48:42.800

in the recent change request document the the first

939

00:48:42.800 --> 00:48:45.300

of those suggests that should permission be

940

00:48:45.300 --> 00:48:48.300

granted a 50 by 50 meter box

941

00:48:48.300 --> 00:48:51.400

around the center of the impact site will

942

00:48:51.400 --> 00:48:54.500

be excluded. So not radius not 50 meter radius.

943

00:48:54.500 --> 00:48:57.800

You've got a 50 by 50 meter box. So 25 meter

944

00:48:57.800 --> 00:49:00.500

radius around the impact center of

945

00:49:00.500 --> 00:49:03.500

the crash as identified by the chipism survey

946

00:49:03.500 --> 00:49:06.400

if the the license is not granted the

947

00:49:06.500 --> 00:49:09.800

Occasion area is 100 meter radius. So 200 meter

948

00:49:09.800 --> 00:49:12.500

Circle diameter around the site,

949

00:49:12.500 --> 00:49:14.200

which is perhaps more appropriate anyway.

950

00:49:14.800 --> 00:49:17.600

And but in terms of the scale of the excluded area

951

00:49:17.600 --> 00:49:21.000

50 by 50 meters square not not diameter

952

00:49:20.400 --> 00:49:24.000

not not radius. So I'm using myself is an

953

00:49:23.100 --> 00:49:27.000

incredibly small area to exclude. It

954

00:49:26.600 --> 00:49:29.600

focuses the geophysics like I can read the geophysics

955

00:49:29.600 --> 00:49:32.400

more clearly with my background, but I take the point about the maps but

956

00:49:32.400 --> 00:49:35.500

the the reason they focus on that Central Point is that's the

957

00:49:35.500 --> 00:49:38.700

highest magnetic normally in measurable

958

00:49:38.700 --> 00:49:41.300

dimensions on that plan that that Central impact point

959

00:49:41.300 --> 00:49:44.200



is about 10 meters by by 15 meters. And so it's

960

00:49:44.200 --> 00:49:47.900

small the aircraft that crash and wings about 46 meters.

961

00:49:47.900 --> 00:49:50.200

So the aircraft itself is only just

962

00:49:50.200 --> 00:49:53.700

smaller than the 50 by 50 meter box that's being proposed for an

963

00:49:53.700 --> 00:49:57.000

exclusion for the crash site. So on that basis alone,

964

00:49:56.300 --> 00:49:59.800

I would suggests that 50 meters. It's too small as an

965

00:49:59.800 --> 00:50:02.900

exclusion area for a crash site. When you

966

00:50:02.900 --> 00:50:05.400

look at the geophysics the scatter around that Impact

967

00:50:05.400 --> 00:50:08.400

Center is much larger and we comfortably sit within

968

00:50:08.400 --> 00:50:13.200

the 200 meter radius. So again

969

00:50:12.200 --> 00:50:14.700

that large area is more

970

00:50:14.700 --> 00:50:17.200

Right here. And we've also got the issue of what

971

00:50:17.200 --> 00:50:20.400

might survive on that site as well. The geophysics is obviously

972

00:50:20.400 --> 00:50:23.200

picked up enough of an iron debris in the

973

00:50:23.200 --> 00:50:26.400

soil to indicate that there is still airframe there which is

974

00:50:26.400 --> 00:50:29.300

registering the survey and so although the official

975

00:50:29.300 --> 00:50:32.500

reports from the time say that the aircraft is completely recovered

976

00:50:32.500 --> 00:50:35.500

but also says that the crew were completely

977

00:50:35.500 --> 00:50:38.200

recovered I think other sites in the area. There's a

978

00:50:38.200 --> 00:50:41.900

great Fair excavation of a Spitfire for example where the remains of pilot

979

00:50:41.900 --> 00:50:44.400

fan to be with with the Spitfire. I think we do

980

00:50:44.400 --> 00:50:47.700

need to assume that there are still aircraft remain

981

00:50:47.700 --> 00:50:50.500

found a new human remains potentially on that side

982

00:50:50.500 --> 00:50:53.200

when we're trying to make a decision about this side and obviously the

983

00:50:53.200 --> 00:50:56.400

ministry of defense will have a deal on that as well. But I think as well

984

00:50:56.400 --> 00:50:59.200

as Heritage there's a sort of morals and ethics attitude here

985

00:50:59.200 --> 00:51:02.200

just because you could an area doesn't mean you

986

00:51:02.200 --> 00:51:05.500  
should I think there to some of

987

00:51:05.500 --> 00:51:09.000  
the local feeling that we have a particularly significant episodes

988

00:51:08.200 --> 00:51:11.300  
in some of the social history? So I'll

989

00:51:11.300 --> 00:51:14.600  
just raise those few points. We'll pick those up in our comments on  
this change.

990

00:51:14.700 --> 00:51:17.700  
When they're invited, thank you. Thank you

991

00:51:17.700 --> 00:51:18.000  
for that.

992

00:51:21.300 --> 00:51:24.200  
Mr. Grant did you want to respond and I

993

00:51:24.200 --> 00:51:27.700  
thank my mother bringing Mr. Maze in a

994

00:51:27.700 --> 00:51:31.400  
moment how this developed and how it provides

995

00:51:31.400 --> 00:51:34.200  
and contacts there and with regard to the 50 by 50

996

00:51:34.200 --> 00:51:37.300  
meter box apologies for misbeating saying readers earlier

997

00:51:37.300 --> 00:51:40.700  
and if we got a license we could build up

998

00:51:40.700 --> 00:51:43.400  
to and on top of it if we say wish the 50 by 50 meter

999

00:51:43.400 --> 00:51:47.700

boxes us it deciding not to say we submit

1000

00:51:47.700 --> 00:51:50.200

that that's and frankly more

1001

00:51:50.200 --> 00:51:53.600

than we would need to if a licenses is granted and

1002

00:51:54.500 --> 00:51:57.300

and in terms of I think

1003

00:51:57.300 --> 00:52:00.200

it's worth an inquiry straining to questions of morals and ethics given

1004

00:52:00.200 --> 00:52:03.400

that that becomes innately more difficult frankly and

1005

00:52:03.400 --> 00:52:05.500

planning policy.

1006

00:52:07.100 --> 00:52:10.200

And with that amount of land over to Mr. Maze, okay?

1007

00:52:13.300 --> 00:52:14.100

antimically

1008

00:52:15.200 --> 00:52:19.400

Yeah, we looked at the original reporting

1009

00:52:18.400 --> 00:52:22.100

official report for the

1010

00:52:21.100 --> 00:52:22.700

incident.

1011

00:52:26.700 --> 00:52:26.900

slowed it

1012

00:52:27.600 --> 00:52:30.700  
was coming down quite quite low. It's carrying

1013  
00:52:30.700 --> 00:52:33.700  
on and the aircraft did

1014  
00:52:33.700 --> 00:52:36.700  
not hit the ground intact. It was in pieces. So

1015  
00:52:36.700 --> 00:52:38.200  
it's very difficult to establish.

1016  
00:52:38.800 --> 00:52:40.900  
exactly our lives that

1017  
00:52:41.300 --> 00:52:42.400  
Creator was

1018  
00:52:43.100 --> 00:52:44.000  
but there is a drawing.

1019  
00:52:44.800 --> 00:52:48.000  
operator within the official report

1020  
00:52:47.500 --> 00:52:49.100  
which matches

1021  
00:52:49.700 --> 00:52:52.800  
the anomaly so we know that that was the area that was

1022  
00:52:52.800 --> 00:52:53.600  
impacted.

1023  
00:52:57.800 --> 00:53:00.400  
Thank you. Any further points before we

1024  
00:53:00.400 --> 00:53:01.100  
move on.

1025  
00:53:03.100 --> 00:53:06.900  
No, in that case, I'll move on to the gender

1026

00:53:06.900 --> 00:53:09.800

items three e which is impacts on

1027

00:53:09.800 --> 00:53:12.700

conservation areas and their settings.

1028

00:53:14.700 --> 00:53:18.300

So I had a question for West Suffolk.

1029

00:53:19.100 --> 00:53:19.800

the council

1030

00:53:20.700 --> 00:53:24.400

where in the local impact report on page

1031

00:53:23.400 --> 00:53:27.400

37 states that

1032

00:53:27.400 --> 00:53:30.300

the settings of the conservation areas and settings of listed

1033

00:53:30.300 --> 00:53:33.400

buildings both within settlements and in

1034

00:53:33.400 --> 00:53:36.900

the open Countryside at least in the west Suffolk part have

1035

00:53:36.900 --> 00:53:39.300

been assessed and potential impacts are

1036

00:53:39.300 --> 00:53:40.500

considered to be neutral.

1037

00:53:41.100 --> 00:53:44.800

Could you clarify please how you assessed setting?

1038

00:53:44.800 --> 00:53:47.400

And whether you took into account views into

1039

00:53:47.400 --> 00:53:50.700  
and between villages in terms of historic features

1040  
00:53:50.700 --> 00:53:52.000  
such as Church Towers.

1041  
00:53:55.400 --> 00:53:58.200  
Now we can help you with that.

1042  
00:53:58.200 --> 00:54:01.500  
Can I pass over to Ms. Leverson, please to thank you

1043  
00:54:01.500 --> 00:54:02.300  
to assist you.

1044  
00:54:04.200 --> 00:54:07.700  
Thank you, Chris Levinson with Council and the assessments

1045  
00:54:07.700 --> 00:54:10.500  
were done by myself and my senior conversation officer

1046  
00:54:10.500 --> 00:54:13.800  
colleague and we use the historic England guidance honest

1047  
00:54:13.800 --> 00:54:16.700  
and settings and we did take into account views

1048  
00:54:16.700 --> 00:54:19.700  
into and out of the areas as well and using and

1049  
00:54:19.700 --> 00:54:20.800  
out of the listed buildings.

1050  
00:54:22.300 --> 00:54:25.500  
Thank you and to East Cambridgeshire has

1051  
00:54:25.500 --> 00:54:28.900  
a similar assessment been undertaken in East Cambridge.

1052  
00:54:32.500 --> 00:54:36.200  
The free conservation areas affected in

1053

00:54:36.200 --> 00:54:39.700

largest route snailwell Iceland ship

1054

00:54:39.700 --> 00:54:42.500

in them relatively small conservation areas

1055

00:54:42.500 --> 00:54:45.500

that are drawn quite tightly within their built

1056

00:54:45.500 --> 00:54:45.800

form.

1057

00:54:46.400 --> 00:54:49.400

So we didn't take a view that they

1058

00:54:49.400 --> 00:54:52.900

were directly affected by this development

1059

00:54:52.900 --> 00:54:55.200

given the separation distances.

1060

00:54:59.600 --> 00:55:02.800

Thank you very much. Now the applicant of Mr.

1061

00:55:02.800 --> 00:55:03.300

Frog.

1062

00:55:04.600 --> 00:55:08.300

Did you have any comments relating to conservation areas

1063

00:55:08.300 --> 00:55:09.300

in their settings?

1064

00:55:12.400 --> 00:55:12.900

philosophies

1065

00:55:16.200 --> 00:55:20.400

James and James only have the applicant. I don't have any particular comment



1066

00:55:19.400 --> 00:55:22.400  
to make I have just a week undertook

1067

00:55:22.400 --> 00:55:25.700  
the same assessment in the consulate starting

1068

00:55:25.700 --> 00:55:28.400  
guidance as he localities have.

1069

00:55:30.400 --> 00:55:33.100  
Thank you. Only for the comments. Yes.

1070

00:55:34.500 --> 00:55:37.200  
Richard hogget say no to Sonica and just to know

1071

00:55:37.200 --> 00:55:40.400  
that in the applicants assessment. They identifier a

1072

00:55:40.400 --> 00:55:43.300  
minor significant effect on the eyes and Conservation Area

1073

00:55:43.300 --> 00:55:46.500  
and the reckon and Conservation Area and also the snail World

1074

00:55:46.500 --> 00:55:49.100  
Conservation Area obviously like that last one now in

1075

00:55:49.100 --> 00:55:52.400  
the light of developments of the change respect is no longer

1076

00:55:52.400 --> 00:55:54.900  
relevant particularly, but we'll come to that later on. I'm sure.

1077

00:55:55.900 --> 00:55:58.900  
Okay, thank you. So I'm what

1078

00:55:58.900 --> 00:56:01.400  
I propose to do is we take a short

1079

00:56:01.400 --> 00:56:04.000

break now for tea. Oh, sorry.

1080

00:56:05.600 --> 00:56:08.300

Thank you very much. Just Edgar on for wet West

1081

00:56:08.300 --> 00:56:11.600

Suffolk. Just before you move away from Heritage. Can

1082

00:56:11.600 --> 00:56:16.700

I just identify one discreet point the

1083

00:56:14.700 --> 00:56:18.100

the we've identified

1084

00:56:17.100 --> 00:56:21.200

and it's in the liar page

1085

00:56:20.200 --> 00:56:22.700

341 D.

1086

00:56:23.700 --> 00:56:26.700

And it's an easy relating to

1087

00:56:26.700 --> 00:56:29.600

non-designated Heritage assets.

1088

00:56:29.600 --> 00:56:33.100

But in particular non-designated Heritage

1089

00:56:32.100 --> 00:56:36.500

assets, which are outside conservation

1090

00:56:35.500 --> 00:56:38.300

areas, but within

1091

00:56:38.300 --> 00:56:42.000

the study area other than archaeological

1092

00:56:41.000 --> 00:56:42.600

assets.

1093

00:56:43.600 --> 00:56:46.200

And the point that was made in the

1094

00:56:46.200 --> 00:56:49.200

lir was that those hadn't been

1095

00:56:49.200 --> 00:56:53.200

assessed by the applicant but the councils

1096

00:56:52.200 --> 00:56:55.000

to view they ought to have been

1097

00:56:55.000 --> 00:56:55.600

assessed.

1098

00:56:56.400 --> 00:57:00.000

and so far as the applicants responses concerned

1099

00:56:59.200 --> 00:57:02.800

that the response didn't

1100

00:57:03.800 --> 00:57:05.200

offer any

1101

00:57:06.800 --> 00:57:09.100

That assurances or information as to why

1102

00:57:09.100 --> 00:57:12.900

whether that was going to be done. But

1103

00:57:12.900 --> 00:57:15.500

we did if you it ought to be done. If

1104

00:57:15.500 --> 00:57:21.000

only to be able to to reach

1105

00:57:19.200 --> 00:57:22.900

the conclusion that

1106

00:57:22.900 --> 00:57:25.900

there are none that would be affected. But the that

1107

00:57:25.900 --> 00:57:28.300

is an exercise that we see what's been included

1108

00:57:28.300 --> 00:57:29.000

in the assessment.

1109

00:57:29.700 --> 00:57:32.400

Yes, thank you. Yes. I was going to ask for June any

1110

00:57:32.400 --> 00:57:35.400

remaining General comments before we actually did break

1111

00:57:35.400 --> 00:57:39.600

for tea. So thank that and I see that Mr.

1112

00:57:40.700 --> 00:57:43.900

A Fletcher from historic England would like

1113

00:57:43.900 --> 00:57:44.500

to comment.

1114

00:57:46.100 --> 00:57:47.300

Sorry, Dr. Fletcher.

1115

00:57:48.200 --> 00:57:51.400

Thank you very much. Yes. I

1116

00:57:51.400 --> 00:57:54.200

just wanted to move on to sort of more General comments in

1117

00:57:54.200 --> 00:57:58.700

Heritage matters, and I was minded by the comments earlier

1118

00:57:58.700 --> 00:58:01.700

by Mr. Bedford and Mr. Mohammed in responsible

1119

00:58:01.700 --> 00:58:02.600

in response to the

1120

00:58:04.400 --> 00:58:07.200

The mitigation the mitigation measures and the

1121

00:58:07.200 --> 00:58:10.000

40 year lifetime of the application. We reflect a couple

1122

00:58:10.500 --> 00:58:13.700

of items in our in our written rep with regards to the changes

1123

00:58:13.700 --> 00:58:16.900

to the to the the designated barrows

1124

00:58:16.900 --> 00:58:19.400

and and the undesignated Heritage

1125

00:58:19.400 --> 00:58:22.800

assets within the within the development area on kind

1126

00:58:22.800 --> 00:58:25.300

of mindful under the slightly technical Point under

1127

00:58:25.300 --> 00:58:29.200

the ancient monuments and classical sense

1128

00:58:28.200 --> 00:58:31.300

of order 1994. There would be a change, you

1129

00:58:31.300 --> 00:58:34.800

know, there was potentially a change to the to the management of

1130

00:58:34.800 --> 00:58:37.500

The Monuments the designated assets

1131

00:58:37.500 --> 00:58:41.200

and I think I was looking we were looking for some clarification from the

1132

00:58:40.200 --> 00:58:43.500

applicant. It doesn't have to be now for maybe

1133

00:58:43.500 --> 00:58:47.300

for a future deadline as to the so

1134

00:58:46.300 --> 00:58:51.100

whether those will be the management

1135

00:58:50.100 --> 00:58:53.300

of them will change during the lifetime which case that has

1136

00:58:53.300 --> 00:58:56.200

an implication for their ongoing management when they handed back to the

1137

00:58:56.200 --> 00:58:59.800

to the landowners at the end of the time of the project that would

1138

00:58:59.800 --> 00:59:02.200

be a legislative legal legal issue.

1139

00:59:02.200 --> 00:59:04.300

We flag some Masters I think.

1140

00:59:04.400 --> 00:59:07.300

That has a reflection on on potential wordings in the

1141

00:59:07.300 --> 00:59:11.300

dco and we also flag some

1142

00:59:11.300 --> 00:59:15.600

issues with regards to the the kind of the Landscaping

1143

00:59:15.600 --> 00:59:18.200

Environmental Management plan and the kind

1144

00:59:18.200 --> 00:59:21.400

of lack of details around the Heritage issues. I haven't seen and

1145

00:59:21.400 --> 00:59:24.400

and maybe I've missed it but it any clarification from the

1146

00:59:24.400 --> 00:59:27.500

applicants whether they intend to update any of those particular documents during

1147

00:59:27.500 --> 00:59:31.000  
the during the examination. Are we

1148

00:59:30.300 --> 00:59:33.800  
feel there are some issues there that need to be the

1149

00:59:33.800 --> 00:59:36.500  
could be clarified or could easily be clarified and the applicant

1150

00:59:36.500 --> 00:59:39.400  
could provide further details and I think

1151

00:59:39.400 --> 00:59:42.700  
also in regards to to archaeological matters and

1152

00:59:42.700 --> 00:59:45.800  
there is an outline WSI, we would anticipate you

1153

00:59:45.800 --> 00:59:48.900  
know, documents like that being being kind of standard documents

1154

00:59:48.900 --> 00:59:53.500  
and that does help to provide Clarity reassurance

1155

00:59:52.500 --> 00:59:55.300  
to all parties local authorities

1156

00:59:55.300 --> 00:59:58.900  
ourselves that those matters will be dealt with appropriately.  
That's

1157

00:59:58.900 --> 01:00:01.400  
all I have to say if that's

1158

01:00:01.400 --> 01:00:01.600  
okay.

1159

01:00:03.600 --> 01:00:04.200

Thank you. So.

1160

01:00:05.400 --> 01:00:06.400

Mr. Grant

1161

01:00:10.200 --> 01:00:13.400

I'm just again, it's just on a general

1162

01:00:13.400 --> 01:00:16.900

point in relation to Heritage matters where to

1163

01:00:16.900 --> 01:00:19.900

the County Council has an interest in the archeology

1164

01:00:19.900 --> 01:00:22.600

below ground matters, and it's

1165

01:00:22.600 --> 01:00:24.700

only that in reps 3A.

1166

01:00:26.500 --> 01:00:27.500

-036

1167

01:00:30.200 --> 01:00:33.300

Page 22. There's a reference to

1168

01:00:33.300 --> 01:00:37.100

the applicant's current intention now to proceed to

1169

01:00:36.100 --> 01:00:39.600

prepare a Heritage environment management

1170

01:00:39.600 --> 01:00:42.700

plan, which I think is intended will

1171

01:00:42.700 --> 01:00:44.600

become an adjunct to the lemon.

1172

01:00:45.700 --> 01:00:48.400

Which obviously we welcome that in principle. It's just



1173

01:00:48.400 --> 01:00:51.100

it's not quite clear to us at the moment as to when

1174

01:00:51.100 --> 01:00:54.400

that's likely to be submitted into the examination. So if there

1175

01:00:54.400 --> 01:00:57.500

is any clarification on that at the stage, that would be welcome.

1176

01:00:58.500 --> 01:01:02.300

So before I do hand back to the applicant any

1177

01:01:01.300 --> 01:01:04.500

more points, yes, say no

1178

01:01:04.500 --> 01:01:04.800

to Seneca.

1179

01:01:05.800 --> 01:01:08.600

Thank you Mum. Richard say notice something to

1180

01:01:08.600 --> 01:01:11.400

interrelated points about non-designated Heritage assets that

1181

01:01:11.400 --> 01:01:14.200

are above ground as opposed to archeological. And the first

1182

01:01:14.200 --> 01:01:18.100

is that talking about buildings that are significant but

1183

01:01:17.100 --> 01:01:20.900

not listed. I understand that the lodge Harris

1184

01:01:20.900 --> 01:01:23.800

at the southwestern end of the Avenue is

1185

01:01:23.800 --> 01:01:26.900

currently being considered for local listing. And obviously

1186

01:01:26.900 --> 01:01:30.100

that would be part of the heart complex anyway,

1187

01:01:29.100 --> 01:01:32.600  
but needs to be considered and the

1188

01:01:32.600 --> 01:01:35.500  
other which will come on to in the landscape session. Anyways,

1189

01:01:35.500 --> 01:01:38.500  
we call that the line kill on scallops

1190

01:01:38.500 --> 01:01:41.800  
should be considered to be non designated Heritage asset and for

1191

01:01:41.800 --> 01:01:45.100  
a reason set out in our written representation. Yes, certainly

1192

01:01:44.100 --> 01:01:47.600  
in terms of the lion kills. Yes. We'll

1193

01:01:47.600 --> 01:01:50.400  
wrap that up in the description later. Thank you. That's

1194

01:01:50.400 --> 01:01:52.100  
once it that's the Hamid.

1195

01:01:54.200 --> 01:01:58.600  
Just for information Mom there is

1196

01:01:58.600 --> 01:02:01.300  
an ongoing local list survey in progress

1197

01:02:01.300 --> 01:02:05.000  
in these Cambridge at the moment, it has identified

1198

01:02:04.500 --> 01:02:07.400  
High Lodge some

1199

01:02:07.400 --> 01:02:10.200  
end of the drivers a candidate. It's also picked up a number

1200  
01:02:10.200 --> 01:02:13.200  
of candidates in snail Island checking on an island

1201  
01:02:13.200 --> 01:02:16.300  
so there will be more information on that

1202  
01:02:16.300 --> 01:02:19.200  
forthcoming. Do you have any time girl on that

1203  
01:02:19.200 --> 01:02:19.400  
face?

1204  
01:02:21.100 --> 01:02:25.400  
Chippenham as a parish is surveyed and

1205  
01:02:25.400 --> 01:02:28.900  
assessed we've just got adoption Powers will

1206  
01:02:28.900 --> 01:02:31.200  
probably going public with that

1207  
01:02:31.200 --> 01:02:34.000  
for consultation in the new year so fairly.

1208  
01:02:36.800 --> 01:02:39.700  
Thank you. Any further additional points

1209  
01:02:39.700 --> 01:02:42.900  
before I asked the applicant respond.

1210  
01:02:42.900 --> 01:02:46.000  
Yes, I a doctor online. And

1211  
01:02:45.400 --> 01:02:48.300  
yes, I think I hear mentioned from

1212  
01:02:48.300 --> 01:02:51.300  
Mr. Bedford the other of a hemp document

1213  
01:02:51.300 --> 01:02:54.200

a Heritage Environmental. That would I

1214

01:02:54.200 --> 01:02:57.400

think be one of the documents we would like to see come forward

1215

01:02:57.400 --> 01:03:00.400

and would support the production of that document as a as a

1216

01:03:00.400 --> 01:03:03.600

way of dealing with some of these issues would be

1217

01:03:03.600 --> 01:03:06.200

useful to hear what the timetable for the delivery of

1218

01:03:06.200 --> 01:03:06.800

that is. Thank you.

1219

01:03:11.100 --> 01:03:15.100

So turning back to the applicant Mr. Grant.

1220

01:03:14.100 --> 01:03:18.000

We've got a few things on the list here. So there

1221

01:03:17.200 --> 01:03:20.700

was the question of non-dairy non-degnated

1222

01:03:20.700 --> 01:03:22.600

Heritage assets and what extent?

1223

01:03:23.300 --> 01:03:25.100

They had been considered.

1224

01:03:26.200 --> 01:03:29.300

And then we've got the issues relating to what happens at

1225

01:03:29.300 --> 01:03:30.300

the end of 40 years.

1226

01:03:31.700 --> 01:03:34.700

And the length of detail

1227

01:03:34.700 --> 01:03:37.600  
regarding Heritage issues archeology.

1228

01:03:40.100 --> 01:03:44.400  
The references to the hemp which you could clarify if

1229

01:03:43.400 --> 01:03:45.300  
you would please.

1230

01:03:47.900 --> 01:03:50.400  
I think that was about it, you know, we'll have others

1231

01:03:50.400 --> 01:03:51.100  
who'd like to respond to.

1232

01:03:55.100 --> 01:03:57.100  
yes, Mom, and

1233

01:03:58.800 --> 01:04:01.500  
I'll bring in Miss Jones on the majority of these

1234

01:04:01.500 --> 01:04:04.500  
and in terms of the hemp and

1235

01:04:04.500 --> 01:04:07.500  
it's not great. But we're going to position. I understand that the

1236

01:04:07.500 --> 01:04:10.300  
dams are understanding is we're actually waiting for a brief

1237

01:04:10.300 --> 01:04:14.500  
from the council before we can put that together. So it may

1238

01:04:14.500 --> 01:04:17.700  
be this is something we need to take up offline. But with we

1239

01:04:17.700 --> 01:04:20.500  
think we're waiting and any of the local authorities

1240

01:04:20.500 --> 01:04:21.300

respond to that now.

1241

01:04:25.800 --> 01:04:29.000

If you're not

1242

01:04:28.200 --> 01:04:31.400

sure because you can see

1243

01:04:31.400 --> 01:04:35.400

still sorry. I can't hear I was

1244

01:04:35.400 --> 01:04:38.600

just saying that I don't know is the short answer whether we're  
yours

1245

01:04:38.600 --> 01:04:41.100

your weight you're waiting on us, but I will confirm that for you.

1246

01:04:41.700 --> 01:04:44.800

Could I ask the parties and to talk on

1247

01:04:44.800 --> 01:04:46.400

that and then let us know when?

1248

01:04:47.100 --> 01:04:50.100

When that's likely to happen when we are likely to see the

1249

01:04:50.100 --> 01:04:50.600

health.

1250

01:04:52.900 --> 01:04:54.800

Yes, Mom, of course.

1251

01:04:56.800 --> 01:05:00.000

I think I'll bring in Miss Jones on the remaining

1252

01:04:59.200 --> 01:05:02.700

points and questions of things like non-designated Heritage assets.

1253

01:05:02.700 --> 01:05:03.300

Okay?

1254

01:05:06.300 --> 01:05:08.200  
Hi. Yes. I'm going to be half the

1255

01:05:09.300 --> 01:05:10.000  
applicant regard to the

1256

01:05:11.300 --> 01:05:15.700  
Limited upstanding Heritage. We did look at

1257

01:05:15.700 --> 01:05:19.800  
non designated assets particularly

1258

01:05:19.800 --> 01:05:22.400  
buildings. It falls within

1259

01:05:22.400 --> 01:05:26.200  
our assessment methodology. We looked

1260

01:05:25.200 --> 01:05:28.800  
at them within a slight smaller study area

1261

01:05:28.800 --> 01:05:30.100  
than we did the designated.

1262

01:05:32.400 --> 01:05:35.900  
I think the most ones we identified film

1263

01:05:35.900 --> 01:05:38.800  
within conservation areas and were therefore assessed

1264

01:05:38.800 --> 01:05:42.700  
as part of the conservation air itself rather than being expressly  
separated.

1265

01:05:42.700 --> 01:05:46.000  
I take note on the The Lodge

1266

01:05:45.300 --> 01:05:48.300  
House at the End of the Avenue and that

1267

01:05:48.300 --> 01:05:51.600

is actually referred to in our desk-based assessment

1268

01:05:51.600 --> 01:05:54.700

but it wasn't taken through to assessment it

1269

01:05:54.700 --> 01:05:57.400

within the environmental statement. We didn't think that there was

1270

01:05:57.400 --> 01:06:00.500

potential for a significant effect. So that's

1271

01:06:00.500 --> 01:06:03.100

that remained purely not in order space assessment.

1272

01:06:05.500 --> 01:06:08.400

And we've got to the class consent for

1273

01:06:08.400 --> 01:06:11.300

scheduled Farrow. I think we're still

1274

01:06:12.200 --> 01:06:15.400

trying to work out the need to realities

1275

01:06:15.400 --> 01:06:18.300

of that but it's certainly something that we were looking to do

1276

01:06:18.300 --> 01:06:21.100

as part of the scheme would be able to take

1277

01:06:21.100 --> 01:06:21.400

out of

1278

01:06:22.400 --> 01:06:25.600

agricultural consent and that will form part

1279

01:06:25.600 --> 01:06:25.900

of the

1280

01:06:27.700 --> 01:06:28.100



document

1281

01:06:31.300 --> 01:06:34.200

and moment grumpy applicant. I think the only human remaining on

1282

01:06:34.200 --> 01:06:37.300

the list is about hand back in the 84 act and I

1283

01:06:37.300 --> 01:06:40.300

think that might be something we have to take away and come back

1284

01:06:40.300 --> 01:06:44.200

with yes. I mean that's already propped up today. Yes, what

1285

01:06:43.200 --> 01:06:46.100

happened in 40 years time. So can you

1286

01:06:46.100 --> 01:06:49.200

it's not something I've got an easy answer for right now. And if you

1287

01:06:49.200 --> 01:06:52.900

can incorporate Heritage related issues into that will

1288

01:06:52.900 --> 01:06:54.100

be helpful, please of course.

1289

01:06:55.400 --> 01:06:59.900

Maritime just respond very briefly in respect of the

1290

01:06:59.900 --> 01:07:02.600

non-designated assets as

1291

01:07:02.600 --> 01:07:05.800

Ed Grant from West Suffolk, and I I

1292

01:07:05.800 --> 01:07:08.100

had obviously what was Jones had say

1293

01:07:08.100 --> 01:07:11.100

about at a moment ago, but in so far as

1294

01:07:11.100 --> 01:07:13.200

the written response from the applicant

1295

01:07:13.800 --> 01:07:14.000

that

1296

01:07:16.200 --> 01:07:20.800

that we read as saying that those non-designated assets

1297

01:07:19.800 --> 01:07:23.400

which were assessed

1298

01:07:22.400 --> 01:07:26.000

within the study area with those

1299

01:07:25.200 --> 01:07:29.100

that fell within conservation areas. So

1300

01:07:28.100 --> 01:07:31.500

if what is being suggested is

1301

01:07:31.500 --> 01:07:34.700

that is that that is either incorrect.

1302

01:07:35.400 --> 01:07:37.300

Or that it's some subsequent point.

1303

01:07:38.300 --> 01:07:42.000

The non-designated Heritage asset outside of

1304

01:07:41.100 --> 01:07:45.000

the conservation areas were assessed then

1305

01:07:44.400 --> 01:07:47.900

we'd be grateful for confirmation of that because the response

1306

01:07:47.900 --> 01:07:50.200

that was given with respect is is

1307

01:07:50.200 --> 01:07:53.300  
at odds with what is contained within the

1308  
01:07:53.300 --> 01:07:55.800  
the written response.

1309  
01:07:56.900 --> 01:07:59.300  
Thank you. I can see Dr. Fletcher has

1310  
01:07:59.300 --> 01:08:02.600  
appeared on the screen before I turned the doctor. Could the  
applicant

1311  
01:08:02.600 --> 01:08:02.800  
please?

1312  
01:08:03.800 --> 01:08:06.600  
Confirm what is the situation in

1313  
01:08:06.600 --> 01:08:10.000  
terms of non-designated Heritage assets were they

1314  
01:08:09.100 --> 01:08:12.300  
were they looked at and considered across the site?

1315  
01:08:13.400 --> 01:08:16.100  
A monster off the Nick Grant for the

1316  
01:08:16.100 --> 01:08:17.800  
applicant. I'll hand over to miss James.

1317  
01:08:19.000 --> 01:08:20.000  
on

1318  
01:08:24.300 --> 01:08:28.000  
Yeah in our response to written questions and yes

1319  
01:08:27.200 --> 01:08:31.000  
they were all looked at for our

1320  
01:08:30.500 --> 01:08:33.300

assessment including those outside the

1321

01:08:33.300 --> 01:08:36.400

conservation areas and that was covered in

1322

01:08:36.400 --> 01:08:39.700

our desk space assessment which is partly appendix

1323

01:08:39.700 --> 01:08:42.200

at the yes and the only ones that were taken

1324

01:08:42.200 --> 01:08:45.300

through to assessment with those within conservation areas that

1325

01:08:45.300 --> 01:08:47.800

they were assessed as part of the Conservation Area

1326

01:08:51.300 --> 01:08:55.800

That's it. The theodity

1327

01:08:54.800 --> 01:08:57.600

about that is is that the

1328

01:08:57.600 --> 01:09:00.100

position that was set out in the

1329

01:09:00.100 --> 01:09:03.600

written response is consistent with

1330

01:09:03.600 --> 01:09:06.600

the position that was set out in the in the

1331

01:09:06.600 --> 01:09:09.300

Es as well. So in both of those

1332

01:09:09.300 --> 01:09:12.400

documents the position that was set

1333

01:09:12.400 --> 01:09:15.500

out was that they hadn't been assessed other than

1334

01:09:15.500 --> 01:09:18.400  
in the conservation areas and that's why

1335

01:09:18.400 --> 01:09:21.700  
that there's the the degree of

1336

01:09:21.700 --> 01:09:25.900  
answer to our heart but perhaps that can be further clarified

1337

01:09:25.900 --> 01:09:28.400  
perhaps outside of their I was going to ask if

1338

01:09:28.400 --> 01:09:31.500  
the parties could just give us that

1339

01:09:31.500 --> 01:09:36.000  
and we certainly Richardson but

1340

01:09:37.500 --> 01:09:40.200  
I think I think Mr. Graham's missing the answer it is

1341

01:09:40.200 --> 01:09:42.800  
that the they were considered.

1342

01:09:44.200 --> 01:09:47.900  
In the desk based analysis, but they weren't taken further forward

1343

01:09:47.900 --> 01:09:50.600  
for further assessments. They won't left out of account, but

1344

01:09:50.600 --> 01:09:53.200  
it was completed. They didn't need to be incorporated into the  
assessment.

1345

01:09:54.300 --> 01:09:55.200  
That's the distinction.

1346

01:09:57.200 --> 01:10:00.900  
But my question I suppose is is the death

1347

01:10:00.900 --> 01:10:03.600  
based assessment in the examination.

1348  
01:10:07.700 --> 01:10:10.300  
That's yes Richardson if

1349  
01:10:10.300 --> 01:10:10.700  
the outcome yes.

1350  
01:10:11.600 --> 01:10:14.300  
Perhaps we might be able to make the link there. If somebody could

1351  
01:10:14.300 --> 01:10:17.200  
give us the reference. Yes, and I think it'd be helpful as

1352  
01:10:17.200 --> 01:10:19.300  
well if if the council can identify.

1353  
01:10:20.200 --> 01:10:23.400  
Perhaps the assets with which they are concerned so that

1354  
01:10:23.400 --> 01:10:27.000  
we can explain how in those events

1355  
01:10:26.700 --> 01:10:31.200  
we deal with it because methodological points

1356  
01:10:30.200 --> 01:10:33.700  
only run so far. So be

1357  
01:10:33.700 --> 01:10:36.300  
helpful to know there's a particular asset they feel with left

1358  
01:10:36.300 --> 01:10:39.100  
out assessment. But perhaps again we can

1359  
01:10:39.100 --> 01:10:42.300  
take that out of the examination hearing and deal with it in  
Britain

1360  
01:10:42.300 --> 01:10:43.000

summaries if you need to

1361

01:10:45.200 --> 01:10:48.500

Thank you, Dr. Fletcher. Did you want to comment on

1362

01:10:48.500 --> 01:10:48.700

this?

1363

01:10:51.100 --> 01:10:52.800

Nothing further from me Mom.

1364

01:11:01.600 --> 01:11:03.800

Historic environment before we break the tea.

1365

01:11:06.300 --> 01:11:09.500

No, in that case, we will pause here

1366

01:11:09.500 --> 01:11:12.900

and can we reconvene please at quarter to

1367

01:11:12.900 --> 01:11:15.400

four when we will move on to landscape and visual

1368

01:11:15.400 --> 01:11:15.700

impact?